

**Konkursa procedūra ar sarunām
„Paziņotās institūcijas (NoBo) pakalpojumi Rail Baltica stacionāro lauka iekārtu SITS atbilstības
izvērtēšanai (verifikācijai)”,
identifikācijas numurs: RBR 2019/19,**

**Competitive Procedure with Negotiation
“Notified Body (NoBo) services for Rail Baltica trackside infrastructure TSI conformity assessment
(verification)”,
identification number: RBR 2019/19,**

**ZIŅOJUMS / REPORT
Rīgā / Riga**

2020. gada 24. aprīlī

24 April 2020

Pasūtītājs: RB Rail AS, reģistrācijas numurs: 40103845025, juridiskā adrese: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Rīga, LV-1010, Latvija. Pasūtītājs veica šo iepirkumu Igaunijas Republikas Ekonomikas lietu un komunikāciju ministrijas un Lietuvas Republikas Transporta un sakaru ministrijas labā.

Contracting authority: RB Rail AS, registration number 40103845025, registered address: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Riga, LV-1010, Latvia. The Contracting Authority conducted this procurement for the benefit of the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of the Republic of Estonia and Ministry of Transport and Communications of the Republic of Lithuania.

Iepirkuma procedūra un identifikācijas numurs: konkursa procedūra ar sarunām „Paziņotās institūcijas (NoBo) pakalpojumi Rail Baltica stacionāro lauka iekārtu SITS atbilstības izvērtēšanai (verifikācijai)”, identifikācijas numurs: RBR 2019/19.

Procurement procedure and identification number: competitive procedure with negotiation “Notified Body (NoBo) services for Rail Baltica trackside infrastructure TSI conformity assessment (verification)”, identification number: RBR 2019/19.

Pamatojums iepirkuma procedūras izvēlei: Saskaņā ar Publisko iepirkumu likuma 8.panta sestās daļas 4.punktu - pasūtītājs nevar pietiekami precīzi sagatavot tehniskās specifikācijas, atsaucoties uz standartiem, Eiropas tehnisko novērtējumu, kopējām tehniskajām specifikācijām vai tehniskajām atsaucēm.

Justification of chosen procurement procedure: According to the Article 8, Paragraph 6, Clause 4 of the Public Procurement Law of Republic of Latvia - as the technical specifications cannot be prepared with sufficient precision by the contracting authority with reference to a standard, European Technical Assessment, common technical specification or technical references.

Līguma priekšmets: Paziņotās institūcijas (NoBo) pakalpojumi Rail Baltica stacionāro lauka iekārtu SITS atbilstības izvērtēšanai (verifikācijai).

Subject-matter of the Contract: provision of Notified Body (NoBo) services for Rail Baltica trackside infrastructure TSI conformity assessment (verification).

Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts iepirkumu uzraudzības biroja tīmekļvietnē: 2019. gada 5. oktobris.

Contract notice publication date on website of Procurement Monitoring Bureau: 5 October 2019.

Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Eiropas Savienības Oficiālajā Vēstnesī: 2019. gada 7. oktobris.

Contract notice publication date in the Official Journal of the European Union: 7 October 2019.

Iepirkuma komisija: tās sastāvs apstiprināts ar RB Rail AS valdes 2019. gada 16. septembra rīkojumu Nr. 11/2019-64 un 2019. gada 13. decembra rīkojumu Nr. 11/2019-82 šādā sastāvā:

Procurement commission: composition of which has been approved by the Management Board of RB Rail AS with order No 11/2019-64 dated 16 September 2019 and order No 11/2019-82 dated 13 December 2019, consisting of:

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja A. Benfelde – Iepirkumu vadītāja.

Procurement commission chairperson A. Benfelde – Procurement Manager.

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājas vietnieks U. Sabulis – Tehnisko projektu vadītājs.

Procurement commission vice-chairman U. Sabulis – Technical Project Manager.

Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis A. Mislēvičs – Dzelzceļa sistēmu inženieris;

Procurement commission member A. Mislēvičs - Railway System Engineer;

Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis M. Daurenjou – ERTMS inženieris.

Procurement commission member M. Daurenjou – ERTMS Engineer;

Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis V. Keiv – Dzelzceļa sliežu eksperts.

Procurement commission member V. Keiv - Railway Track Expert;

Iepirkuma komisijas locekle/ sekretāre V. Ezergaile – Iepirkumu speciāliste - juriste.

Procurement commission member/ secretary V. Ezergaile – Procurement Specialist - Lawyer.

Iepirkuma komisijas piesaistīti eksperti:

Procurement commission's invited experts:

RB Rail AS:

RB Rail AS:

A. Zelčs – jurists;

A. Zelčs – Lawyer;

A. Caune – projektu vadītājs;

A. Caune – Project Manager;

K. Piirsalu – Iepirkumu departamenta vadītājs;

K. Piirsalu – Chief Procurement Officer;

L. Ivanovs - Tehniskās saskarnes vadības grupas līderis;

L. Ivanovs - Technical Interface Management Team Leader;

SIA "Eiropas Dzelzceļa līnijas":

SIA "Eiropas Dzelzceļa līnijas":

J. Eiduks - Galvenais tehniskais eksperts;

J. Eiduks - Chief Technical Expert;

D. Bušs - Tehniskās vadības departamenta Tehniskais eksperts;

D. Bušs - Technical expert of the Technical Control Department;

Latvijas Republikas Satiksmes ministrija:

Transport Ministry of Republic of Latvia:

O. Bērziņa – Rail Baltica departamenta direktore;

O. Bērziņa – Head of Rail Baltica Department;

I. Andersone - Rail Baltica departamenta vecākā eksperte;

I. Andersone – Senior Expert of Rail Baltica Department;

K. Eņģelis - Rail Baltica departamenta direktora vietnieks, nodaļas vadītājs;

K. Eņģelis – Deputy Head of Rail Baltica Department, Head of Division;

Rail Baltic Estonia OÜ:

Rail Baltic Estonia OÜ:

R. Kivikangur – Pamatlīnijas projektu vadītājs;

R. Kivikangur - Main line Project Manager;

J. Minski – Dzelzceļa projektu vadītājs;

J. Minski - Railway Project Manager;

R. Pulatov – Projektu vadītājs;

R. Pulatov – Project Manager;

AB "Lietuvos geležinkelių infrastruktūra":

AB "Lietuvos geležinkelių infrastruktūra":

T. Tatarēlis - Infrastruktūras savstarpējās izmantojamības struktūrvienības vadītājs;

T. Tatarēlis - Head of Infrastructure Interoperability Unit;

AB „Lietuvos geležinkeliai“:

AB „Lietuvos geležinkeliai“:

V. Kuzminskas - Iepirkumu servisa centrs Projektu koordinators.

V. Kuzminskas - Project Coordinator of Purchasing Service Center.

Iepirkuma procedūras dokumentācijas sagatavotāji:

Draftsperson of procurement documentation: Procurement Commission;

Iepirkuma komisija;

RB Rail AS:

RB Rail AS:

A. Zelčs – jurists;

A. Caune – projektu vadītājs;

SIA “Eiropas Dzelzceļa līnijas”:

J. Eiduks - Galvenais tehniskais eksperts;

D. Bušs - Tehniskās vadības departamenta

Tehniskais eksperts;

Latvijas Republikas Satiksmes ministrija:

K. Eņģelis - Rail Baltica departamenta direktora

vietnieks, nodaļas vadītājs;

Rail Baltic Estonia OÜ:

R. Pulatov – Projektu vadītājs;

AB “Lietuvos geležinkeliu infrastruktūra”:

T. Tatarēlis - Infrastruktūras savstarpējās

izmantojamības struktūrvienības vadītājs;

AB „Lietuvos geležinkeliai”:

V. Kuzminskas - Iepirkumu servisa centrs Projektu

koordinators.

A. Zelčs – Lawyer;

A. Caune – Project Manager;

SIA “Eiropas Dzelzceļa līnijas”:

J. Eiduks - Chief Technical Expert;

D. Bušs - Technical expert of the Technical

Control Department;

Transport Ministry of Republic of Latvia:

K. Eņģelis – Deputy Head of Rail Baltica

Departament, Head of Division;

Rail Baltic Estonia OÜ:

R. Pulatov – Project Manager;

AB “Lietuvos geležinkeliu infrastruktūra”:

T. Tatarēlis - Head of Infrastructure

Interoperability Unit.

AB „Lietuvos geležinkeliai”:

V. Kuzminskas - Project Coordinator of

Purchasing Service Center.

Pretendentiem noteiktās kvalifikācijas prasības:

noteiktas konkursa procedūras ar sarunām „Paziņotās institūcijas (NoBo) pakalpojumi Rail Baltica stacionāro lauka iekārtu SITS atbilstības izvērtēšanai (verifikācijai)” kandidātu atlases nolikumā.

Qualification requirements for the Tenderers:

stipulated in candidate selection regulations of the competitive procedure with negotiation “Notified Body (NoBo) services for Rail Baltica trackside infrastructure TSI conformity assessment (verification)”.

Pieteikumu iesniegšanas termiņš: 2019. gada 4. novembris, līdz plkst. 15:00.

Submission date of Applications: 4 November 2019 till 15:00.

Paredzētā pieteikumu atvēršanas vieta, datums un laiks: Elektronisko iepirkumu sistēmas E-konkursu apakšsistēma, 2019. gada 4. novembris, plkst. 15:00.

The planned place, date and time for the opening of Proposals: E-Tender subsystem of Electronic Procurement System, 4 November 2019, at 15:00.

Iesniegtie pieteikumi:

N.p.k.	Kandidāts
1.	Personu Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA un Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A. apvienība
2.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība
3.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.
4.	Personu apvienība, kas sastāv no TÜV Rheinland Rail Certification B.V., TÜV Rheinland InterTraffic GmbH, “Latvijas rūpnieku tehniskās drošības ekspertu apvienība” - TUV Rheinland grupa SIA un Arsenal Railway Certification GmbH
5.	Ricardo Certification B.V.
6.	Personu RINA Services S.p.A. un Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o. apvienība
7.	Personu TÜV NORD Systems GmbH & Co. KG un ISARail S.p.A apvienība

Submitted applications:

No	Candidate
1.	Partnership formed by Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA and Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A.
2.	Partnership formed by Certifer SA and Network Rail Certification Body Limited
3.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.
4.	Partnership formed by TÜV Rheinland Rail Certification B.V., TÜV Rheinland InterTraffic GmbH, “Latvijas rūpnieku tehniskās drošības ekspertu apvienība” - TUV Rheinland grupa SIA un Arsenal Railway Certification GmbH
5.	Ricardo Certification B.V.
6.	Partnership formed by RINA Services S.p.A. and Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o.

8.	Personu TÜV SÜD Rail GmbH and TÜV SÜD Danmark ApS apvienība
----	---

7.	Partnership formed by TÜV NORD Systems GmbH & Co. KG and ISARail S.p.A
8.	Partnership formed by TÜV SÜD Rail GmbH and TÜV SÜD Danmark ApS

Noraidītie kandidātu pieteikumi:

Personu TÜV Rheinland Rail Certification B.V., TÜV Rheinland InterTraffic GmbH, "Latvijas rūpnieku tehniskās drošības ekspertu apvienība" - TUV Rheinland grupa SIA un Arsenal Railway Certification GmbH apvienība - kā neatbilstoša Nolikuma 4.1.3 punkta 1) apakšpunkta un 4.1.3 punkta 2) apakšpunkta prasībām.

Personu TÜV NORD Systems GmbH & Co. KG un ISARail S.p.A apvienība - kā neatbilstoša Nolikuma 4.1.3 punkta 1) apakšpunkta un 4.1.3 punkta 2) apakšpunkta prasībām.

Personu TÜV SÜD Rail GmbH and TÜV SÜD Danmark ApS apvienība - kā neatbilstoša Nolikuma 4.1.3 punkta 1) apakšpunkta un 4.1.3 punkta 2) apakšpunkta prasībām.

Piedāvājuma izvēles kritērijs: saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

Piedāvājumu iesniegšanas termiņš: sākotnējie – 2020.gada 9.marts līdz plkst.15:00; gala – 2020.gada 20.aprīlis.

Paredzētā sākotnējo piedāvājumu atvēršanas vieta, datums un laiks: Elektronisko iepirkumu sistēmas E-konkursu apakšsistēma, piedāvājumiem 2020.gada 9.marts plkst. 15:00.

Iesniegtie sākotnējie pieci piedāvājumi un piedāvātās cenas:

Nr.	Pretendents	Kopējā līgumcena (EUR bez PVN)
1.	Personu Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA un Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A. apvienība	1 164 880,82
2.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	2 595 125,03
3.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	2 991 196,40*
4.	Ricardo Certification B.V.	4 226 665,43

Rejected candidates' applications:

Partnership formed by TÜV Rheinland Rail Certification B.V., TÜV Rheinland InterTraffic GmbH, "Latvijas rūpnieku tehniskās drošības ekspertu apvienība" - TUV Rheinland grupa SIA and Arsenal Railway Certification GmbH – as non-compliant to Section 4.1.3 Sub-section 1) and Section 4.1.3 Sub-section 2) of the Regulations.

Partnership formed by TÜV NORD Systems GmbH & Co. KG and ISARail S.p.A - as non-compliant to Section 4.1.3 Sub-section 1) and Section 4.1.3 Sub-section 2) of the Regulations.

Partnership formed by TÜV SÜD Rail GmbH and TÜV SÜD Danmark ApS - as non-compliant to Section 4.1.3 Sub-section 1) and Section 4.1.3 Sub-section 2) of the Regulations.

Selection criteria: the most economically advantageous proposal.

Submission date of Proposals: initial – 9 March 2020 till 15:00; final – 20 April 2020.

The planned place, date and time for the opening of initial Proposals: E-Tender subsystem of Electronic Procurement System, 9 March 2020, at 15:00.

Submitted five initial and the proposed prices:

No.	Tenderer	Total contract price (EUR without VAT)
1.	Partnership formed by Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA and Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A.	1 164 880,82
2.	Partnership formed by Certifer SA and Network Rail Certification Body Limited	2 595 125,03
3.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	2 991 196,40*
4.	Ricardo Certification B.V.	4 226 665,43

5.	Personu RINA Services S.p.A. un Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o. apvienība	2 081 140,86
----	--	--------------

* Pēc aritmētiskās kļūdas labojuma

5.	Partnership formed by RINA Services S.p.A. and Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o.	2 081 140,86
----	---	--------------

* After correction of arithmetical error

Nr.	Pretendents	2. veida variācijas (EUR bez PVN)
1.	Personu Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA un Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A. apvienība	340,00
2.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	700,00
3.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	320,00
4.	Ricardo Certification B.V.	900,00*
5.	Personu RINA Services S.p.A. un Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o. apvienība	600,00*

* Pēc aritmētiskās kļūdas labojuma

No.	Tenderer	Variations Type 2 (EUR without VAT)
1.	Personu Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA un Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A. apvienība	340,00
2.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	700,00
3.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	320,00
4.	Ricardo Certification B.V.	900,00*
5.	Personu RINA Services S.p.A. un Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o. apvienība	600,00*

* After correction of arithmetical error

Nr.	Pretendents	1. veida variācijas (EUR bez PVN)
1.	Personu Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA un Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A. apvienība	43 300,00
2.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	147 430,00
3.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	16 580,00
4.	Ricardo Certification B.V.	-
5.	Personu RINA Services S.p.A. un Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o. apvienība	69 600,00

No.	Tenderer	Variations Type 1 (EUR without VAT)
1.	Personu Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA un Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A. apvienība	43 300,00
2.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	147 430,00
3.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	16 580,00
4.	Ricardo Certification B.V.	-
5.	Personu RINA Services S.p.A. un Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o. apvienība	69 600,00

Sarunu posmi un to rezultāti:

Ņemot vērā vienu veikto sarunu posmu, iesniegti gala piedāvājumi ar šādām piedāvātājam līgumcēnām un saņemto punktu skaitu:

Nr.	Pretendents	Kopējā līgumcēna (EUR bez PVN)
1.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	2 595 125,03
2.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	2 208 831,65

Negotiations rounds and the results:

According to one negotiation round, there have been submitted final proposals with the following proposed contract prices and obtained score:

No.	Tenderer	Total contract price (EUR without VAT)
1.	Partnership formed by Certifer SA and Network Rail Certification Body Limited	2 595 125,03
2.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	2 208 831,65

Nr.	Pretendents	2. veida variācijas (EUR bez PVN)
1.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	700,00
2.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	320,00*

*Pēc aritmētiskās kļūdas labojuma

No.	Tenderer	Variations Type 2 (EUR without VAT)
1.	Partnership formed by Certifer SA and Network Rail Certification Body Limited	700,00
2.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	320,00*

*After correction of arithmetical error

Nr.	Pretendents	1. veida variācijas (EUR bez PVN)
1.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	153 985,00
2.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	21 500,00

No.	Tenderer	Variations Type 1 (EUR without VAT)
1.	Partnership formed by Certifer SA and Network Rail Certification Body Limited	153 985,00
2.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	21 500,00

Nr.	Pretendents	Saņemtie punkti
1.	Personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienība	73,74
2.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	68,60

No.	Tenderer	Obtained score
1.	Partnership formed by Certifer SA and Network Rail Certification Body Limited	73,74
2.	ITALCERTIFER S.p.A.	68,60

Pretendents, ar kuru tiks slēgts līgums, un pamatojums lēmumam piešķirt līguma slēgšanas tiesības:

Pamatojoties uz Uzaicinājuma iesniegt sākotnējos piedāvājumus 16.1. punktu, iepirkuma komisija nolēma līguma slēgšanas tiesības piešķirt personu Certifer SA un Network Rail Certification Body Limited apvienībai kā saimnieciski visizdevīgākajam piedāvājumam ar kopējo punktu skaitu 73,74.

Pretendenta, ar kuru tiks slēgts līgums, piesaistītie apakšuzņēmēji un tiem nododamo darbu apraksts: nav.

Noraidītie piedāvājumi:

Personu Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA un Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A. apvienība – kā neatbilstošs Nolikuma 5.2.2., 5.2.4., 5.2.5., 5.2.7., 5.2.8., 5.3.1., 5.3.2. un 5.3.5. punktos noteiktajam prasībām.

Ricardo Certification B.V. – kā neatbilstošs Nolikuma 5.2., 6.1. un 6.2. punktos noteiktajām prasībām, Tehniskās specifikācijas Pielikuma Nr. 2 "Indikatīvā Globālā projekta pamatprogramma" noteiktajām prasībām, Tehniskās specifikācijas

The Tenderer awarded the contract rights and justification for the decision to award the contract rights:

In accordance with Section 16.1 of the Invitation to submit initial bids (2nd stage Regulations) Procurement commission decided to award the contract rights to partnership formed by Certifer SA and Network Rail Certification Body Limited as most economically advantageous proposal with total score 73,74 points.

Subcontractors of Tenderer awarded the contract rights and description of the services assigned to them: none.

Rejected proposals:

Partnership formed by Bureau Veritas Latvia SIA and Bureau Veritas Italia S.p.A. - as non-compliant to requirements stipulated in the Section 5.2.2, 5.2.4, 5.2.5, 5.2.7, 5.2.8, 5.3.1, 5.3.2 and 5.3.5 of the Regulations.

Ricardo Certification B.V. - as non-compliant to requirements stipulated in Section 5.2, 6.1 and 6.2 of the Regulations, Annex No 2 "Indicative Global projects Master Programme" of the

Pielikuma Nr. 5 "Darbu paketes un cenu noteikšanas prasības" 2.4. un 2.3.3. punktos noteiktajām prasībām un Nolikuma Pielikuma Nr. 6 "Līguma projekts" 9.sadaļas prasībām.

Personu RINA Services S.p.A. un Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o. apvienība – kā neatbilstošs Tehniskās specifikācijas Pielikuma Nr. 5 "Darbu paketes un cenu noteikšanas prasības" 2.4.punktā noteiktajām prasībām.ITALCERTIFER S.p.A. - iesniegtais piedāvājums nav saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

Technical Specification, Section 2.4 and Section 2.3.3 of the Annex No 5 "Work packages & pricing requirements" of the Technical specification and Section 9 of the Annex No 6 of the Regulations "Draft contract".

Partnership formed by RINA Services S.P.A. and Infracert TSI Sp. z.o.o. - as non-compliant to requirements stipulated in Section 2.4 of the Annex No 5 "Work packages & pricing requirements" of the Technical specification.ITALCERTIFER S.p.A. – as not most economically advantageous proposal.

Konstatētie interešu konflikti un pasākumi, kas veikti to novēršanai:

Iepirkuma komisijas loceklim T.Cichocki tika konstatēts interešu konflikts ar vienu no kandidātiem un saskaņā ar Publisko iepirkumu likuma 25. panta pirmās daļas 1. punktu, līdz ar to viņš nepiedalījās pieteikumu izvērtēšanā un ar 2019. gada 13. decembra rīkojumu Nr. 11/2019-82 tika izslēgts no iepirkuma komisijā.

Identified conflicts of interest and measures taken to prevent them:

Procurement commission member T.Chichocki was found to have a conflict of interest with one of the candidates according to Article 25, Paragraph 1, Clause 1 of Public Procurement Law, therefore he did not participate in evaluation of the applications and with order No 11/2019-82 dated 13 December 2019 was excluded from the Procurement commission.

Piedāvājumu vērtēšanas kopsavilkums – pievienots pielikumā.

Summary of proposal evaluation – enclosed in annex.

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja
Procurement commission chairperson

A. Benfelde

ŠIS DOKUMENTS IR PARAKSTĪTS AR DROŠU ELEKTRONISKO PARAKSTU
UN SATUR LAIKA ZĪMOGU/ THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN SIGNED WITH A SAFE ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE
AND CONTAINS A TIME-STAMP

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
 "NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
 (ID NO RBR 2019/19)

Selection of the most economically advantageous proposal (Evaluation summary)

No.	Criteria	Maximum possible score (points)	Points assigned				
			Procurement commission member I	Procurement commission member II	Procurement commission member III	Procurement commission member IV	Procurement commission member V
14.5.2	Technical proposal	60					
14.5.3	Quality of description of provision of NoBo Services	25					
Proposed verification modules for each subsystem							
	Highly detailed The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner. In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.	5	5	5	5	5	5
	Good level of details The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.	3	-	-	-	-	-
	Satisfactory level of details The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way	1	-	-	-	-	-

**Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
 “NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
 (ID NO RBR 2019/19)**

	and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.						
Proposed Rail Baltica railway subsystems assessment strategy which is “what, when and how” to describe the specific project context and tasks, e.g. project scope, trans-national specifics, multiple approval authorities, interfaces, organizational structure, etc.							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	5	5	5	5	5	5
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	3	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	-	-	-	-
Proposed approach of quality management procedures to be applied in the frame of NoBo Services							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of</p>	3	3	3	3	3	3

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	<p>provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>						
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	2	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	-	-	-	-
<p>Proposed verification programme (general description of verification programme, as described in Chapter 5 of Technical specification – covering requirements stipulated in the Chapter 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.4, 5.1.5., 5.1.19 of the Technical specification)</p>							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	3	3	3	3	3	3
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear</p>	2	-	-	-	-	-

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
“NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.						
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	-	-	-	-
Proposed suggestions for delivery of the NoBo Services described in Technical specification							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	3	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	2	2	-	-	-	2
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	1	1	1	-
Proposed NoBo Services work breakdown structure, the sequence and co-relation of the tasks and deliverables as well staging of the NoBo Services							

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
“NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	3	3	3	3	3	3
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	2	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	-	-	-	-
<p>Proposed Draft NoBo Master Programme management plan (as required in Chapter 8.9 of the Technical specification) describing review and checking procedures and time framework which shall not jeopardize the agreed Rail Baltica Global project deliverables</p>							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	3	3	3	3	3	3

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	2	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	-	-	-	-
14.5.5	Additional experts proposed	20					
14.5.5.3	TSI Assessors with specific technical competence	16					
14.5.5.3.1	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI INF with focus on substructure and engineering structures in design, construction, integration and testing phases¹ of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects² and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) 5 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h; b) 1 railway bridge with length more than 50 m; c) 4 switches; d) 2 passenger platforms. 	2	2	2	2	2	2

¹ Design, construction, integration and testing phases shall be covered with a single reference NoBo services project.

² NoBo services project is understood as provision of NoBo verification services of new and/or reconstructed (upgraded) railway infrastructure as defined in the Directive (EU) 2016/797 of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union Article 15, Clause 3, requirements of the relevant TSI and requirements of Section 14.5.5.3 of the Invitation on the specific field of competence.

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

14.5.5.3.2	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI INF with focus on superstructure and track in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) 5 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h; b) 1 railway bridge with length more than 50 m; c) 4 switches; d) 2 passenger platforms. 	2	2	2	2	2	2
14.5.5.3.3	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI PRM with focus on platforms, facilities and access to it in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) 1 passenger station or stopping point; b) 2 passenger platforms; c) 2 platform tracks. 	2	2	2	2	2	2
14.5.5.3.4	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI PRM with focus on passenger terminals, facilities and access to it in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) 1 passenger station with a passenger terminal; b) 3 passenger platforms; c) 6 platform tracks. 	2	2	2	2	2	2

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

14.5.5.3.5	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI ENE with focus on overhead contact line in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) 10 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h; b) 2 railway overpasses (bridges) with length more than 50 m; c) 2 railway underpasses; d) 4 switches. 	2	2	2	2	2	2
14.5.5.3.6	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI ENE with focus on EMC, earthing concept and traction power supply in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) 10 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h; b) 2 railway overpasses (bridges) with length more than 50 m; c) Railway sections (either parallel routing or junction) with direct current (DC) railway catenary systems; d) 1 traction substation. 	2	0	0	0	0	0
14.5.5.3.7	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI CCS with focus on GSM-R in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p>	2	0	0	0	0	0

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
“NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	<p>a) 20 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h;</p> <p>b) ETCS Level 2 without track side signalling;</p> <p>c) 2 GSM-R base stations.</p>						
14.5.5.3.8	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI CCS with focus on ETCS Level 2 in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <p>a) 20 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h;</p> <p>b) ETCS Level 2 without track side signalling;</p> <p>c) 1 Radio Block Center (RBC).</p>	2	2	2	2	2	2
14.5.5.5	Deputy Project Leader	4					
14.5.5.5.1	<p>The Deputy Project Leader within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Project Manager in design, construction, integration and testing phases³ of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects⁴.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include verification of at least 2 (two) of the following subsystems in any combination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • infrastructure, • trackside control-command and signalling, • energy. <p>NoBo services project shall include all the following infrastructure (at least):</p> <p>a) 5 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h;</p>	4	4	4	4	4	4

³ Design, construction, integration and testing phases shall be covered with a single reference NoBo services project.

⁴ NoBo services project is understood as provision of NoBo verification services of new and/or reconstructed (upgraded) railway infrastructure as defined in the Directive (EU) 2016/797 of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union Article 15, Clause 3, requirements of the relevant TSI and requirements of Section 14.5.5.5 of the Invitation on the specific field of competence.

**Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
 "NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
 (ID NO RBR 2019/19)**

	b) ETCS Level 2 without track side signalling; c) Electrification.						
14.5.6.2	The quality of risk management measures	15					
14.5.6.2.1	Description towards the risks associated with non-compliance with TSI's and other relevant European Union and national law						
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in highly detailed and well-structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the Tenderer understands project risks and proposed risk management measures are the most appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk: 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) Quantitative and qualitative risk analysis has been performed according to PMP® or other comparable international standards; 3) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent.</p> <p>In addition, the alternatives of proposed Risk management measures have been analysed.</p>	5	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in detailed and structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the proposed risk management measures are appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk: 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent.</p>	3	3	3	3	3	3
	Satisfactory level of details	0	-	-	-	-	-

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation.						
14.5.6.2.2	Description towards the risks associated with Project management - both on Contracting Authority's and Contractor's side						
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in highly detailed and well-structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the Tenderer understands project risks and proposed risk management measures are the most appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) Quantitative and qualitative risk analysis has been performed according to PMP® or other comparable international standards; 3) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent. <p>In addition, the alternatives of proposed Risk management measures have been analysed.</p>	5	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in detailed and structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the proposed risk management measures are appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent. 	3	3	3	3	3	3
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation.</p>	0	-	-	-	-	-

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

14.5.6.2.3	Description towards the risks associated with Rail Baltica Global project						
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in highly detailed and well-structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the Tenderer understands project risks and proposed risk management measures are the most appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) Quantitative and qualitative risk analysis has been performed according to PMP® or other comparable international standards; 3) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent. <p>In addition, the alternatives of proposed Risk management measures have been analysed.</p>	2	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation.</p>	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.5.6.2.4	Description of a broader risk management scope which in the Tenderer's experience must be considered for the provision of NoBo Services and where risk mitigations and treatment are implemented without exceeding the prices indicated in the Tenderer's Financial proposal						
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in highly detailed and well-structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the Tenderer understands project risks and proposed risk management measures are the most appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 	3	-	-	-	-	-

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	2) Quantitative and qualitative risk analysis has been performed according to PMP® or other comparable international standards; 3) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent. In addition, the alternatives of proposed Risk management measures have been analysed.						
	Good level of details The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in detailed and structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the proposed risk management measures are appropriate. The following approach has been used for each risk: 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent.	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total points for Technical proposal:		60	47	46	46	46	47
			$46,4 = (47 + 46 + 46 + 46 + 47) / 5$ (5 - the number of the Procurement commission members participated in the evaluation)				
14.6.2.	Financial proposal	40					
14.6.2.1.a)	Total contract price, EUR excl. VAT	27	22,98	22,98	22,98	22,98	22,98
14.6.2.1.b)	Undefined scope variations Project Leader and Lead Assessors hourly rate sum for assessment according to Directive (EU) 2016/797 (Variations Type 2), EUR excl. VAT	8	3,66	3,66	3,66	3,66	3,66
14.6.2.1.c)	Work package variations price (Variations Type 1), EUR excl. VAT	5	0,70	0,70	0,70	0,70	0,70
Total points for Financial proposal:		40	27,34				
TOTAL:		100					
TOTAL POINTS FOR TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL PROPOSAL:		$46,40 + 27,34 = 73,74$					

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
 “NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
 (ID NO RBR 2019/19)

No.	Criteria	Maximum possible score (points)	Points assigned				
			Italcertifer S.p.A.				
			Procurement commission member I	Procurement commission member II	Procurement commission member III	Procurement commission member IV	Procurement commission member V
14.5.2	Technical proposal	60					
14.5.3	Quality of description of provision of NoBo Services	25					
Proposed verification modules for each subsystem							
	Highly detailed The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner. In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.	5	5	-	-	-	5
	Good level of details The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.	3	-	3	3	3	-
	Satisfactory level of details The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way	1	-	-	-	-	-

**Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
 “NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
 (ID NO RBR 2019/19)**

	and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.						
Proposed Rail Baltica railway subsystems assessment strategy which is “what, when and how” to describe the specific project context and tasks, e.g. project scope, trans-national specifics, multiple approval authorities, interfaces, organizational structure, etc.							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	5	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	3	3	-	-	-	3
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	1	1	1	-
Proposed approach of quality management procedures to be applied in the frame of NoBo Services							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of</p>	3	-	-	-	-	-

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	<p>provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>						
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	2	-	-	-	2	-
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	1	1	1	-	1
<p>Proposed verification programme (general description of verification programme, as described in Chapter 5 of Technical specification – covering requirements stipulated in the Chapter 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.4, 5.1.5., 5.1.19 of the Technical specification)</p>							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	3	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear</p>	2	2	2	2	2	2

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
“NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.						
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	-	-	-	-
Proposed suggestions for delivery of the NoBo Services described in Technical specification							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	3	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	2	2	-	-	-	2
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	-	1	1	1	-
Proposed NoBo Services work breakdown structure, the sequence and co-relation of the tasks and deliverables as well staging of the NoBo Services							

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
“NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	3	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	2	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.</p>	1	1	1	1	1	1
<p>Proposed Draft NoBo Master Programme management plan (as required in Chapter 8.9 of the Technical specification) describing review and checking procedures and time framework which shall not jeopardize the agreed Rail Baltica Global project deliverables</p>							
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry and Tenderer’s experience, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and an outstanding description of the task in high detailed and well-structured manner.</p> <p>In addition, the task alternatives have been analysed and consistency between all parts of the Technical proposal is fully comprehensible.</p>	3	3	-	-	-	3

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	Good level of details The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of Services provides a clear understanding about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.	2	-	2	2	2	-
	Satisfactory level of details The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Section 5 of the Invitation, work packages are outlined in the reasonable manner, the description of provision of Services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of NoBo Services and description of the task in detailed and structured manner.	1	-	-	-	-	-
14.5.5	Additional experts proposed	20					
14.5.5.3	TSI Assessors with specific technical competence	16					
14.5.5.3.1	The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI INF with focus on substructure and engineering structures in design, construction, integration and testing phases ⁵ of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects ⁶ and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service. Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least): e) 5 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h; f) 1 railway bridge with length more than 50 m; g) 4 switches; h) 2 passenger platforms.	2	0	0	0	0	0

⁵ Design, construction, integration and testing phases shall be covered with a single reference NoBo services project.

⁶ NoBo services project is understood as provision of NoBo verification services of new and/or reconstructed (upgraded) railway infrastructure as defined in the Directive (EU) 2016/797 of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union Article 15, Clause 3, requirements of the relevant TSI and requirements of Section 14.5.5.3 of the Invitation on the specific field of competence.

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

14.5.5.3.2	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI INF with focus on superstructure and track in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> e) 5 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h; f) 1 railway bridge with length more than 50 m; g) 4 switches; h) 2 passenger platforms. 	2	2	2	2	2	2
14.5.5.3.3	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI PRM with focus on platforms, facilities and access to it in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> d) 1 passenger station or stopping point; e) 2 passenger platforms; f) 2 platform tracks. 	2	0	0	0	0	0
14.5.5.3.4	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI PRM with focus on passenger terminals, facilities and access to it in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> d) 1 passenger station with a passenger terminal; e) 3 passenger platforms; f) 6 platform tracks. 	2	0	0	0	0	0

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

14.5.5.3.5	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI ENE with focus on overhead contact line in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> e) 10 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h; f) 2 railway overpasses (bridges) with length more than 50 m; g) 2 railway underpasses; h) 4 switches. 	2	0	0	0	0	0
14.5.5.3.6	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI ENE with focus on EMC, earthing concept and traction power supply in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> e) 10 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h; f) 2 railway overpasses (bridges) with length more than 50 m; g) Railway sections (either parallel routing or junction) with direct current (DC) railway catenary systems; h) 1 traction substation. 	2	0	0	0	0	0
14.5.5.3.7	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI CCS with focus on GSM-R in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p>	2	2	2	2	2	2

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
“NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)”
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	<p>d) 20 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h;</p> <p>e) ETCS Level 2 without track side signalling;</p> <p>f) 2 GSM-R base stations.</p>						
14.5.5.3.8	<p>The TSI Assessor within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Assessor for TSI CCS with focus on ETCS Level 2 in design, construction, integration and testing phases of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects, and subsystem verified by the TSI Assessor is placed in service.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include all following infrastructure (at least):</p> <p>d) 20 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h;</p> <p>e) ETCS Level 2 without track side signalling;</p> <p>f) 1 Radio Block Center (RBC).</p>	2	0	0	0	0	0
14.5.5.5	Deputy Project Leader	4					
14.5.5.5.1	<p>The Deputy Project Leader within the previous 10 (ten) years (until the date of submission of the Proposal) had experience as Project Manager in design, construction, integration and testing phases⁷ of at least 2 (two) NoBo services projects⁸.</p> <p>Scope of the NoBo services project shall include verification of at least 2 (two) of the following subsystems in any combination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • infrastructure, • trackside control-command and signalling, • energy. <p>NoBo services project shall include all the following infrastructure (at least):</p> <p>d) 5 km of double track line with design speed higher or equal to 200 km/h;</p>	4	4	4	4	4	4

⁷ Design, construction, integration and testing phases shall be covered with a single reference NoBo services project.

⁸ NoBo services project is understood as provision of NoBo verification services of new and/or reconstructed (upgraded) railway infrastructure as defined in the Directive (EU) 2016/797 of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union Article 15, Clause 3, requirements of the relevant TSI and requirements of Section 14.5.5.5 of the Invitation on the specific field of competence.

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	e) ETCS Level 2 without track side signalling; f) Electrification.						
14.5.6.2	The quality of risk management measures	15					
14.5.6.2.1	Description towards the risks associated with non-compliance with TSI's and other relevant European Union and national law						
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in highly detailed and well-structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the Tenderer understands project risks and proposed risk management measures are the most appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk: 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) Quantitative and qualitative risk analysis has been performed according to PMP® or other comparable international standards; 3) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent.</p> <p>In addition, the alternatives of proposed Risk management measures have been analysed.</p>	5	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in detailed and structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the proposed risk management measures are appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk: 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent.</p>	3	3	3	3	3	3
	Satisfactory level of details	0	-	-	-	-	-

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation.						
14.5.6.2.2	Description towards the risks associated with Project management - both on Contracting Authority's and Contractor's side						
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in highly detailed and well-structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the Tenderer understands project risks and proposed risk management measures are the most appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) Quantitative and qualitative risk analysis has been performed according to PMP® or other comparable international standards; 3) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent. <p>In addition, the alternatives of proposed Risk management measures have been analysed.</p>	5	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Good level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in detailed and structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the proposed risk management measures are appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent. 	3	3	3	3	3	3
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation.</p>	0	-	-	-	-	-

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

14.5.6.2.3	Description towards the risks associated with Rail Baltica Global project						
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in highly detailed and well-structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the Tenderer understands project risks and proposed risk management measures are the most appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) Quantitative and qualitative risk analysis has been performed according to PMP® or other comparable international standards; 3) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent. <p>In addition, the alternatives of proposed Risk management measures have been analysed.</p>	2	-	-	-	-	-
	<p>Satisfactory level of details</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation.</p>	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.5.6.2.4	Description of a broader risk management scope which in the Tenderer's experience must be considered for the provision of NoBo Services and where risk mitigations and treatment are implemented without exceeding the prices indicated in the Tenderer's Financial proposal						
	<p>Highly detailed</p> <p>The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in highly detailed and well-structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the Tenderer understands project risks and proposed risk management measures are the most appropriate.</p> <p>The following approach has been used for each risk:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 	3	-	-	-	-	-

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

	2) Quantitative and qualitative risk analysis has been performed according to PMP® or other comparable international standards; 3) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent. In addition, the alternatives of proposed Risk management measures have been analysed.						
	Good level of details The contents of the Risk management measures conform with the Section 5.3 of the Invitation, are in line with the best practice of industry and Tenderer's experience. The description of Risk management measures is prepared in detailed and structured manner and convince the Contracting Authority that the proposed risk management measures are appropriate. The following approach has been used for each risk: 1) The control measures are formulated more S.M.A.R.T; 2) The substantiation of the effectiveness of the measures is consistent.	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total points for Technical proposal:		60	32	26	26	27	32
			$28,60 = (32 + 26 + 26 + 27 + 32) / 5$ (5 - the number of the Procurement commission members participated in the evaluation)				
14.6.2.	Financial proposal	40					
14.6.2.1.a)	Total contract price, EUR excl. VAT	27	27	27	27	27	27
14.6.2.1.b)	Undefined scope variations Project Leader and Lead Assessors hourly rate sum for assessment according to Directive (EU) 2016/797 (Variations Type 2), EUR excl. VAT	8	8	8	8	8	8
14.6.2.1.c)	Work package variations price (Variations Type 1), EUR excl. VAT	5	5	5	5	5	5
Total points for Financial proposal:		40	40				
TOTAL:		100					
TOTAL POINTS FOR TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL PROPOSAL:		28,60 + 40 = 68,60					

Evaluation summary for the competitive procedure with negotiations
"NOTIFIED BODY (NOBO) SERVICES FOR THE RAIL BALTICA TRACKSIDE INFRASTRUCTURE TSI CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (VERIFICATION)"
(ID NO RBR 2019/19)

Procurement commission member I

[signature]

Procurement commission member II

[signature]

Procurement commission member III

[signature]

Procurement commission member IV

[signature]

Procurement commission member V

[signature]

In Riga, 24 April 2020