

Konkursa procedūra ar sarunām
"Būvprojektēšanas darbi un autoruzraudzība sliežu ceļa pamatlīnijai Latvijas Ziemeļu un Dienvidu posmiem",
identifikācijas numurs: RBR 2019/7

Competitive procurement procedure with negotiation
"Design and design supervision services for the construction of the Latvian North and South main line section",
identification number: RBR 2019/7

Ziņojums /Report

Rīgā	2020.gada 13.martā	Rīga	13 March 2020
Pasūtītājs: RB Rail AS, reģistrācijas numurs: 40103845025, juridiskā adrese: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Rīga, LV-1010, Latvija.	Contracting authority: RB Rail AS, registration number 40103845025, registered address: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Rīga, LV-1010, Latvia.		
Iepirkuma procedūras veids un identifikācijas numurs: konkursa procedūra ar sarunām "Būvprojektēšanas darbi un autoruzraudzība sliežu ceļa pamatlīnijai Latvijas Ziemeļu un Dienvidu posmiem", identifikācijas numurs: RBR 2019/7 (turpmāk – Iepirkums).	Procurement procedure and identification number: competitive procurement procedure with negotiation "Design and design supervision services for the construction of the Latvian North and South main line section", identification number: RBR 2019/7 (hereinafter – Procurement).		
Iepirkuma procedūras izvēles pamatojums: Publisko iepirkumu likuma 8.panta 6.dalas 2.punkts – iepirkuma līgums ietver projektēšanu.	Justification for the respective procurement procedure: Section (6), Clause 2, Article 8 of the Public Procurement Law of the Republic of Latvia – subject matter includes design works.		
Iepirkuma līguma priekšmets: Būvprojektēšanas darbi un autoruzraudzība sliežu ceļa pamatlīnijai Latvijas Ziemeļu un Dienvidu posmiem.	Subject of the procurement procedure: Design and design supervision services for the construction of the Latvian North and South main line section.		
Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Eiropas Savienības Oficiālajā Vēstnesī: 2019.gada 8.jūlijā (2019/S 129 – 316804).	Notice publication date in TED	Tenders Electronic Daily:	Daily:
	8 July 2019 (2019/S 129 – 316804).		8 July 2019 (2019/S 129 – 316804).
Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Iepirkumu uzraudzības biroja tīmekļvietnē: 2019.gada 6.jūlijā.	Notice publication date at website of Procurement Monitoring Bureau: 6 July 2019.		

Iepirkuma komisija (turpmāk – Iepirkuma komisija vai Komisija):

Iepirkuma komisijas, kuras sastāvs apstiprināts ar RB Rail AS valdes 2019.gada 20.jūnija rīkojumu Nr.11/2019-44:
Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājs Mārtiņš Blaus – Iepirkumu vadītājs;
Iepirkuma komisija priekšsēdētāja vietnieks J.Lukševičs – Iepirkumu speciālists;
Iepirkuma komisijas locekle Līga Marija Putna – Tehnisko projektu vadītāja Latvijā;
Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis Jānis Štekels – Ceļu inženieris;
Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis Arnis Zelčs – Jurists;
Iepirkuma komisijas locekle/sekretāre Linda Kalniņa – Iepirkumu speciāliste – juriste.

2019.gada 1.novembrī tika izdots rīkojums Nr.11/2019-76 ar kuru tika izdarīti grozījumi 2019.gada 20.jūnija rīkojumā Nr.11/2019-44 nosakot sekojošo:

1. atcelt no darba Iepirkuma komisijā Iepirkuma komisijas locekli Līgu Mariju Putnu – Tehnisko projektu vadītāju Latvijā;
2. papildināt Iepirkuma komisiju ar Iepirkuma komisijas locekli Ilmāru Ivuškānu – Tehnisko projektu vadītāju Latvijā.

Pieaicinātie eksperti (bez balsstiesībām):

1. Andrejs Mislēvičs – RB Rail AS Dzelceļu sistēmu inženieris;
2. Antanas Šnirpūnas – RB Rail AS Dzelceļu sistēmu inženieris / RB Rail AS Lietuvas filiāles vadītājs;
3. Ērika Budvytyte – RB Rail AS Tiltu inženiere;
4. Ēriks Diļevs – RB Rail AS Vecākais ceļu un komunikāciju komandas vadītājs;
5. Ilmārs Ivuškāns – RB Rail AS Tehnisko projektu vadītājs Latvijā;
6. Jānis Plakanis – RB Rail AS Tehnisko projektu vadītājs Latvijā;
7. Kaspars Klucis – RB Rail AS Vecākais būvniecības un hidroloģijas eksperts;
8. Mārtiņš Ulāns – RB Rail AS Teritorijas plānošanas un vides kontroles vadītājs;
9. Pauls Krūmiņš - RB Rail AS Iepirkumu speciālists;
10. Raitis Bušmanis – RB Rail AS Komandas vadītājs;

Procurement commission (hereinafter – Procurement commission or Commission):

Procurement commission which composition has been approved by Order No 11/2019-44, dated 20 June 2019:
Procurement commission chairman Mārtiņš Blaus – Procurement Manager;
Procurement commission vice-chairman Jānis Lukševičs – Procurement Specialist;
Procurement commission member Līga Marija Putna – Technical Project Manager, Latvia;
Procurement commission member Jānis Štekels – Roads Engineer;
Procurement commission member Arnis Zelčs – Lawyer;
Procurement commission member/secretary Linda Kalniņa – Procurement Specialist-Lawyer.

On 1 November 2019 was issued Order No 11/2019-76 with amendments to the Order No 11/2019-44 dated 20 June 2019, determine following:

1. to recall from Procurement commission Procurement commission member Līga Marija Putna – Technical Project Manager Latvia;
2. to add the following commission member to the procurement commission Ilmārs Ivuškāns – Technical Project Manager Latvia.

Invited experts (with no voting rights):

1. Andrejs Mislēvičs – RB Rail AS Railway System Engineer;
2. Antanas Šnirpūnas – RB Rail AS Railway System Engineer / RB Rail AS Head of RB Rail AS Lithuanian Branch;
3. Ērika Budvytyte – RB Rail AS Bridge Engineer;
4. Ēriks Diļevs – RB Rail AS Senior Roads and Utilities Team Leader;
5. Ilmārs Ivuškāns – RB Rail AS Technical Project Manager Latvia;
6. Jānis Plakanis – RB Rail AS Technical Project Manager Latvia;
7. Kaspars Klucis – RB Rail AS Senior Construction and Hydrology Expert;
8. Mārtiņš Ulāns – RB Rail AS Spatial Planning and Environmental Team Leader;
9. Pauls Krūmiņš – RB Rail AS Procurement Specialist;
10. Raitis Bušmanis – RB Rail AS BIM Team Leader;
11. Dāvis Bušs – Representative from Ministry of Transport of Republic of Latvia;

11. Dāvis Bušs – Latvijas Republikas Satiksmes ministrijas pārstāvis;
12. Inese Andersone – Latvijas Republikas Satiksmes ministrijas pārstāve;
13. Olīta Bērziņa – Latvijas Republikas Satiksmes ministrijas pārstāve;
14. Kaspars Baikovskis – Latvijas Republikas Satiksmes ministrijas pārstāvis.

12. Inese Andersone – Representative from Ministry of Transport of Republic of Latvia;
13. Olīta Bērziņa – Representative from Ministry of Transport of Republic of Latvia;
14. Kaspars Baikovskis – Representative from Ministry of Transport of Republic of Latvia.

Pretendenti noteiktās kvalifikācijas prasības: noteiktas konkursa procedūras ar sarunām „Būvprojektēšanas darbi un autoruzraudzība sliežu ceļa pamatlīnijai Latvijas Ziemeļu un Dienvidu posmiem”.

Qualification requirements for the Tenderers: stipulated in regulations of the competitive procurement procedure with negotiation „Design and design supervision services for the construction of the Latvian North and South mainline section”.

Piedāvājuma izvēles kritērijs:
saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

Selection criteria:
the most economically advantageous proposal.

Pieteikumu iesniegšanas termiņš:
2019.gada 5.augusts.

Submission of the Applications:
5 August 2019.

Piedāvājumu iesniegšanas termiņš:
2019.gada 20.decembris.

Submission date of Proposals:
20 December 2019.

Pieteikumu un piedāvājumu atvēršanas vieta, datums un laiks:

Place, date and time of the opening of Applications and Proposals:

Pieteikumu atvēršana – 2019.gada 5.augustā, plkst. 15:00, E-Iepirkumu sistēmā;

Applications opening – 5 August 2019, 15:00 o`clock E-Tender system;

Piedāvājumu atvēršana – 2019.gada 20.decembrī, plkst.15:00, E-Iepirkumu sistēmā.

Proposals opening – 20 December 2019, 15:00 o`clock, E-Tender system.

Piegādātāju nosaukumi, kuri pieteikušies uz kandidātu atlasī un to pretendentu nosaukumi, kuri ir iesnieguši piedāvājumus, kā arī to piedāvātās cenas.

Names of the Suppliers who have applied for the selection of Candidates and the names of the Tenderers who have submitted Proposals and the offered prices.

Pieteikumus 1.dalā iesnieguši šādi Kandidāti:

Applications has been submitted by the following Candidates in the Lot 1:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>1) Apvienība, "DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH un Egis Rail S.A.", kura, sastāv no:
Egis Rail S.A., reģ.nr. 968502559;
DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH, reģ.nr. HRB 56655.</p> <p>2) Apvienība TYPESA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO sastāv no:
Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPESA), reģ.nr. ESA28171288;
TUC RAIL S A (TUC RAIL), reģ.nr. BCE 0447.914.029;
IDEAM, S.A., reģ.nr. A-28027183;
REM PRO SIA, reģ.nr. 41503041904.</p> <p>3) Apvienība, kura sastāv no:
Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., reģ.nr. 28220168;
Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A., reģ.nr. A-80480759.</p> <p>4) Apvienība, kura sastāv no:
Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, Reg.No. 489 626 135 R.C.S.;
GEG Lda, Reg.No. 501957782;
GREISCH Ingénierie S.A., Reg.No. 04445. 668. 289;
GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A., Reg.No. 513 637 990.</p> <p>5) Apvienība, kura sastāv no SENER INGENIERÍA Y SISTEMAS, S.A., reģ.nr. CIF A48024723 un ITALFERR S.p.A, reģ.nr. 0677062058.</p> | <p>1) "DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH and Egis Rail S.A.", consisting of:
Egis Rail S.A., Reg. No 968502559;
DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH, Reg. No HRB 56655.</p> <p>2) Consortium TYPESA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO consisting of:
Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPESA), Reg. No ESA28171288;
TUC RAIL S A (TUC RAIL), Reg.No BCE 0447.914.029;
IDEAM, S.A., Reg.No A-28027183;
REM PRO SIA, reg. No 41503041904.</p> <p>3) Consortium, consisting of:
Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., Reg. No. 28220168;
Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A., reg. No A-80480759.</p> <p>4) Consortium, consisting of:
Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, Reg.No. 489 626 135 R.C.S.;
GEG Lda, Reg.No. 501957782;
GREISCH Ingénierie S.A., Reg.No. 04445. 668. 289;
GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A., Reg.No. 513 637 990.</p> <p>5) Association of SENER INGENIERÍA Y SISTEMAS, S.A., Reg.No. CIF A48024723 and ITALFERR S.p.A, Reg.No. 0677062058.</p> |
|--|--|

Pieteikums 2.dalā iesnieguši šādi Kandidāti:

Applications has been submitted by the following Candidates in the Lot 2:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>1) Apvienība "DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH un Egis Rail S.A.", sastāv no:
Egis Rail S.A, reģ.nr. 968502559;
DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH, reģ.nr. HRB 56655.</p> <p>2) Apvienība "TYPESA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO" Sastāv no:
Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPESA), reģ.nr. ESA28171288;
TUC RAIL S A (TUC RAIL), reģ.nr. BCE 0447.914.029;
IDEAM, S.A., reģ.nr. A-28027183;</p> | <p>1) "DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH and Egis Rail S.A.", consisting of:
Egis Rail S.A., Reg. No 968502559;
DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH, Reg. No HRB 56655.</p> <p>2) Consortium TYPESA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO consisting of:
Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPESA), Reg. No ESA28171288;
TUC RAIL S A (TUC RAIL), Reg.No BCE 0447.914.029;
IDEAM, S.A., Reg.No A-28027183;</p> |
|--|---|

REM PRO SIA, reģ.nr. 41503041904.

- 3) IDOM, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U., reģ.nr.A48283964.
- 4) Apvienība, kura sastāv no:
Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., reģ.nr. 28220168;
Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A., reģ.nr. A-80480759.
- 5) Apvienība, kura sastāv no:
Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, Reg.No. 489 626 135 R.C.S.;
GEG Lda, Reg.No. 501957782;
GREISCH Ingénierie S.A., Reg.No. 04445. 668. 289;
GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A., Reg.No. 513 637 990.
- 6) Asociācija, kas sastāv no SENER INGENIERIA Y SISTEMAS, S.A., reģ.nr. CIF A48024723, ITALFERR S.p.A, reģ.nr. 0677062058.
- 7) Apvienība OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten – Prointec S.A., kura sastāv no:
OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten, reģ.nr. HRB 45902;
Prointec S.A., reģ.nr. A-282 46122.

Piedāvājumus iesnieguši pretendenti un to piedāvātās cenas 1.daļā:

Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN
TYPSA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO	13 887 965,51
DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH un Egis Rail S.A.	18 308 581,14
Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A.; Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A.	13 523 414,78
Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie; GEG Lda; GREISCH Ingénierie S.A.; GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A.	16 836 416,64

REM PRO SIA, reg. No 41503041904.

- 3) IDOM, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U., Reg.No. A48283964.
- 4) Consortium, consisting of:
Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., Reg. No. 28220168;
Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A., reg. No A-80480759.
- 5) Consortium, consisting of:
Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, Reg.No. 489 626 135 R.C.S.;
GEG Lda, Reg.No. 501957782;
GREISCH Ingénierie S.A., Reg.No. 04445. 668. 289;
GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A., Reg.No. 513 637 990.
- 6) Association of SENER INGENIERIA Y SISTEMAS, S.A., Reg.No. CIF A48024723, ITALFERR S.p.A, Reg.No. 0677062058.
- 7) Association of OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten – Prointec S.A., consisting of:
OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten, Reg.No. HRB 45902;
Prointec S.A., Reg.No. A-282 46122.

Proposals, submitted by the following Tenderers and proposed prices in the Lot 1:

Tenderer	Proposed price EUR excl.VAT
TYPSA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO	13 887 965,51
DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH and Egis Rail S.A.	18 308 581,14
Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A.; Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A.	13 523 414,78
Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie; GEG Lda; GREISCH Ingénierie S.A.; GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A.	16 836 416,64

Piedāvājumus iesnieguši pretendenti un to piedāvātās cenas 2.dajā:

Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena, EUR bez PVN
TYPSA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO	8 962 680,10
DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH un Egis Rail S.A.	9 992 066,99
Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A.; Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A.	10 043 720,76
IDOM, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.	6 903 802,50
Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie; GEG Lda; GREISCH Ingénierie S.A. GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A.	11 488 758,39
SENER INGENIERÍA Y SISTEMAS, S.A., ITALFERR S.p.A.	10 635 057,88

Piedāvājuma izvērtēšanas kritērijs: Nolikuma 12.1. punkts: Saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

1.dajā

No	Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena, EUR bez PVN	Iegūtie punkti pēc vērtēšanas
1.	TYPSA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO	13 887 965,51	90,43
2.	DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH un Egis Rail S.A.	18 308 581,14	83,32
3.	Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A..	13 523 414,78	99,50
4.	Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, GEG Lda;	16 836 416,64	82,69

Proposals, submitted by the following Tenderers and proposed prices in the Lot 2:

Tenderer	Proposed price, EUR excl. VAT
TYPSA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO	8 962 680,10
DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH and Egis Rail S.A.	9 992 066,99
Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A.; Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A.	10 043 720,76
IDOM, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.	6 903 802,50
Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie; GEG Lda; GREISCH Ingénierie S.A. GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A.	11 488 758,39
SENER INGENIERÍA Y SISTEMAS, S.A., ITALFERR S.p.A.	10 635 057,88

Proposal evaluation criteria: Clause 12.1. of Regulations: The economically most advantageous proposal.

Lot No 1

No	Tenderer	Proposed price, EUR excl. VAT	Points assigned after evaluation
1.	TYPSA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO	13 887 965,51	90,43
2.	DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH and Egis Rail S.A., Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A..	18 308 581,14	83,32
3.	Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, GEG Lda;	16 836 416,64	82,69

GREISCH Ingénierie S.A.; GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A.		
---	--	--

Proposal evaluation criteria: Clause 12.1. of Regulations: The economically most advantageous proposal.

Lot No 2

No	Tenderer	Proposed price, EUR excl. VAT	Points assigned after evaluation
1.	TYPSA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO	8 962 680,10	78,72
2.	DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH and Egis Rail S.A., Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A.,	9 992 066,99	79,96
3.	IDOM, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.	10 043 720,76	81,24
4.	Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, GEG Lda, GREISCH Ingénierie S.A.; GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A.	6 903 802,50	93
5.	SENER INGENIERIA Y SISTEMAS, S.A., ITALFERR S.p.A.	11 488 758,39	70,56
6.		10 635 057,88	65,95

Stages of Negotiations and results of Negotiations: none.

Rejected Tenderers: None.

GREISCH Ingénierie S.A.; GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A.		
---	--	--

Piedāvājuma izvērtēšanas kritērijs: Nolikuma 12.1. punkts: Saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

2.dalā

No	Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN	Iegūtie punkti pēc vērtēšanas
1.	TYPSA – TUC RAIL – IDEAM – REM PRO	8 962 680,10	78,72
2.	DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH un Egis Rail S.A., Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A.,	9 992 066,99	79,96
3.	IDOM, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.	10 043 720,76	81,24
4.	Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, GEG Lda, GREISCH Ingénierie S.A., GRID International Consulting Engineers S.A.,	6 903 802,50	93
5.	SENER INGENIERIA Y SISTEMAS, S.A., ITALFERR S.p.A.	11 488 758,39	70,56
6.		10 635 057,88	65,95

Sarunu posmi un to rezultāti: nav

Noraidītie pretendenti: Nav.

Tā pretendenta nosaukums, ar kuru nolemts slēgt iepirkuma līgumu, piedāvātā līgumcena, kā arī piedāvājumu izvērtēšanas kopsavilkums un pamatojums piedāvājuma izvēlei:

1.daļā

Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN	Kopējie punkti
Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A.,	13 523 414,78	99,50

The Tenderer awarded the contract signing rights, proposed price, scores assigned for the proposal and justification for the decision to award the contract signing rights:

Lot No 1

Tenderer	Proposed price EUR excl.VAT	Total scores
Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A., Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A.,	13 523 414,78	99,50

2.daļā

Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN	Kopējie punkti
Idom, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.	6 903 802,50	93,00

Lot No 2

Tenderer	Proposed price EUR excl.VAT	Total scores
Idom, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.	6 903 802,50	93,00

Nemot vērā, ka pretendenta, Konsortija INECO-ARDANUY (sastāv no Ineco-Ingenieria y Economia Del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A. un Ardanuy Ingenieria, S.A.) iesniegtais piedāvājums pilnībā atbilst visām iepirkuma Nolikumā noteiktajām prasībām un ir saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums, Iepirkuma komisija, pamatojoties uz Nolikuma 12.daļas 12.1.punktu, kā arī Publisko iepirkumu likuma 51.panta pirmo daļu, 2020.gada 6.martā nolēma piešķirt pretendentam, Konsortijam INECO-ARDANUY (sastāv no Ineco-Ingenieria y Economia Del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A. un Ardanuy Ingenieria, S.A.) līguma slēgšanas tiesības iepirkuma priekšmeta 1.daļā par kopējo līguma summu Būvprojektēšanas un autorizraudzības darbiem EUR 13 523 414,78 (trīspadsmit miljoni pieci tūkstoši divdesmit trīs un četri simti četrpadsmit euro un septiņdesmit astoņi centi) bez PVN apmērā.

Considering that proposal submitted by the Consortium INECO-ARDANUY (formed by Ineco-Ingenieria y Economia Del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. and Ardanuy Ingenieria, S.A.) meets all requirements established in Regulations and the Tenderer has submitted the economically most advantageous proposal, Procurement commission in accordance with Clause 12.1. of Section 12 of Regulations and in accordance with Section (1) of Article 51 of the Public Procurement Law of the Republic of Latvia on 6 March 2020 decided to award the contract signing rights in the Lot No 1 to the Tenderer, Consortium INECO-ARDANUY (formed by Ineco-Ingenieria y Economia Del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. and Ardanuy Ingenieria, S.A.) for the total proposed contract price for the Design and Design Supervision services in amount of EUR 13 523 414,78 (thirteen million five hundred twenty-three thousand, four hundred fourteen euros and seventy eight cents) excl. VAT.

Nemot vērā, ka pretendenta, Idom, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U., iesniegtais piedāvājums pilnībā atbilst visām iepirkuma Nolikumā noteiktajām prasībām un ir saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums, Iepirkuma komisija,

pamatojoties uz Nolikuma 12.daljas 12.1.punktu, kā arī Publisko iepirkumu likuma 51.panta pirmo daļu, 2020.gada 6.martā nolēma piešķirt pretendentam, Idom, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U., līguma slēgšanas tiesības iepirkuma priekšmeta 2.dalā par kopējo līguma summu Būvprojekta izstrādes un autorizraudzības darbiem EUR 6 903 802,50 (seši miljoni deviņi tūkstoši trīs un astoņi simti divi euro un piecdesmit centi) bez PVN apmērā.

Procurement commission in accordance with Clause 12.1. of Section 12 of Regulations and in accordance with Section (1) of Article 51 of the Public Procurement Law of the Republic of Latvia on 6 March 2020 decided to award the contract signing rights in the **Lot No 2** to the Tenderer, Idom, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U., for the total proposed contract price for the Design and Design Supervision services in amount of EUR 6 903 802,50 (six million nine hundred three thousand, eight hundred two euros and fifty cents) exci. VAT.

Pretendenta, ar kuru tiks slēgts līgums, piesaistītie apakšuzņēmēji un tiem nododamo darbu apraksts:

Sub-contractors of Tenderer awarded the contract rights and description of the services assigned to them:

Pretendenta Konsorcijs INECO-ARDANUY (sastāv no Ineco-Ingenieria y Economia Del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A. un Ardanuy Ingenieria, S.A.) piesaistītais apakšuzņēmējs: Carlos Fernandez Casado S.L., nododamo darbu apjoms – 1,10%

Tenderer Consortium INECO-ARDANUY (formed by Ineco-Ingenieria y Economia Del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. and Ardanuy Ingenieria, S.A.) assigned sub-contractor: Carlos Fernandez Casado S.L., the amount of works – 1,10%.

Pretendentam Idom, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U., piesaistīto apakšuzņēmēju nav.

To the Tenderer Idom, Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U., none sub-contractors.

Kandidātu skaitu samazināšanas gadījumā norāda izraudzīto kandidātu nosaukumus un to izraudzīšanās iemeslus, noraidīto kandidātu nosaukumus un to noraidīšanas iemeslus:
Netika piemērots.

In a case of reduction of Candidates, indicate selected Candidate's names and the reason for their selection, rejected Candidates names and reasons for rejection:
Not applicable.

Pretendentu skaita samazināšanas gadījumā norāda izraudzīto pretendentu nosaukumus un to izraudzīšanās iemeslus, noraidīto kandidātu nosaukumus un to noraidīšanas iemeslus:
Netika piemērots.

In a case of reduction of Tenderers, indicate selected Tenderer's names and the reason for their selection, rejected Tenderer's names and reasons for rejection:
Not applicable.

Pamatojums lēmumam par katru noraidīto kandidātu un pretendentu, kā arī par katru iepirkuma procedūras dokumentiem neatbilstošu pieteikumu un piedāvājumu:

Justification for every rejected Candidate and Tenderer, as well as for every application and proposal incompliant with procurement procedure documents:

Noraidītie kandidāti – nav.

Rejected Candidates – None.

Noraidītie pretendenti – nav.

Rejected Tenderers – None.

Lēmuma pamatojums, ja iepirkuma komisija pieņēmusi lēmumu pārtraukt vai izbeigt iepirkuma procedūru:
Netika piemērots.

Grounds for decision, if Procurement Commission make a decision to terminate or discontinue procurement procedure:
Not applicable.

Piedāvājuma noraidīšanas pamatojums, ja iepirkuma komisija atzinusi piedāvājumu par nepamatoti lētu:
Netika piemērots.

Grounds for rejection, if Procurement Commission make a decision to reject Tenderer proposal as abnormally low:
Not applicable.

Konstatētie interešu konflikti un pasākumi, kas veikti to novēršanai:
Nav.

Conflicts of interest identified, and measures taken to prevent such:
None.

Pielikumā:

1. piedāvājumu izvērtējuma kopsavilkums 1.dajā uz 1 (viens) lapas;
2. piedāvājumu izvērtējuma kopsavilkums 2.dajā uz 2 (divi) lapām.

Enclosed:
Annex No.1 Proposals Evaluation Summary in the Lot No 1 on 1 (one) page.
Annex No.2 Proposals Evaluation Summary in the Lot No 2 on 2 (two) pages.

Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājs / Commission chairperson



M. Blaus

Summary table with the individual assessment results of the Procurement Commission in the competitive procedure with negotiation "Design and design supervision services for the construction of the Latvian North and South main line section", ID No RBR 2019/7, Lot No 1

		Association of DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH and EGIS Rail S.A.				INGENIERIA Y ECONOMIA DEL TRANSPORTE, S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO) and ARDANIUY				Association of: INGEROP Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG GABINETE DE Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda,				Cuantum TYPHA - TUC RAIL - IDEAM -REM PRO, consisting of: Tecnica y Proyectos S.A.			
B.1 Understanding of different aspects of the assignment (in accordance with 5.4.1)	Max	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail
				7	4	2	0	7	4	2	0	7	4	2	0	7	4
Procurement Commission member No 1	10	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0
B.2 Schedule of provision of Design services (Gantt chart) (in accordance with 5.4.2)		Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail
		10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0
Procurement Commission member No 1	10	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
B.3 Service provision methodology (approach) (in accordance with 5.4.3)		Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail
		7	4	2	0	7	4	2	0	7	4	2	0	7	4	2	0
Procurement Commission member No 1	5	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6		7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0
B.4 Quality assurance methodology (in accordance with 5.4.4)		Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail
		6	4	2	0	6	4	2	0	6	4	2	0	6	4	2	0
Procurement Commission member No 1	5	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2		6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3		6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4		6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5		6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6		6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Number of Procurement commission members participated in evaluation		6															
TOTAL scoring for Technical proposal		30				30				27				27			
Key experts		Max.	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score			
Project manager	2	1	2	3	2	2	1,5	1	1								
Design manager	2	1	2	3	2	2	1,5	2	1,5								
Railway track designer	2	1	2	3	2	2	1,5	2	1,5								
Railway bridge designer	2	1	2	2	1,5	2	1,5	1	1								
BIM Manager	2	1	1	3	2	1	1	0	0								
TOTAL scoring for key experts		9		9,5		7,5		5									
TOTAL Contract prices		18 308 581,14				13 523 414,78				16 836 415,64				13 887 985,51			
Score for contract price		44,37				60,00				48,19				58,43			
TOTAL SCORE:		83,32				99,5				82,69				90,43			

