

Konkursa procedūras ar sarunām "Būvprojektēšanas darbi un autoruzraudzība jaunam sliežu ceļam posmā no Pārnu līdz Igaunijas – Latvijas valsts robežai", identifikācijas numurs: RBR 2018/28

Competitive procurement procedure with negotiation "Design and design supervision services for the construction of the new line from Pārnu to the Estonian – Latvian border", identification number: RBR 2018/28

#### Ziņojums /Report

Rīgā

2020.gada 23.janvārī

Rīgā

23 January 2020

Pasūtītājs: RB Rail AS, reģistrācijas numurs: 40103845025, juridiskā adrese: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Rīga, LV-1010, Latvija.

Contracting authority: RB Rail AS, registration number 40103845025, registered address: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Rīga, LV-1010, Latvia.

Iepirkuma procedūras veids un identifikācijas numurs: konkursa procedūra ar sarunām "Būvprojektēšanas darbi un autoruzraudzība jaunam sliežu ceļam posmā no Pārnu līdz Igaunijas – Latvijas valsts robežai", identifikācijas numurs: RBR 2018/28 (turpmāk – Iepirkums).

Procurement procedure and identification number: competitive procurement procedure with negotiation "Design and design supervision services for the construction of the new line from Pārnu to the Estonian – Latvian border", identification number: RBR 2018/28 (hereinafter – Procurement).

Iepirkuma procedūras izvēles pamatojums: Publisko iepirkumu likuma 8.panta 6.daļas 2.punkts – iepirkuma līgums ietver projektēšanu.

Justification for the respective procurement procedure: Section (6), Clause 2, Article 8 of the Public Procurement Law of the Republic of Latvia – subject matter includes design works.

Iepirkuma līguma priekšmets: Būvprojektēšanas darbi un autoruzraudzība jaunam sliežu ceļam posmā no Pārnu līdz Igaunijas – Latvijas valsts robežai.

Subject of the procurement procedure: Design and design supervision services for the construction of the new line from Pārnu to the Estonian – Latvian border.

Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Eiropas Savienības Oficiālajā Vēstnesī: 2018. gada 30.novembrī (2018/S 231 – 528034).

Notice publication date in TED Tenders Electronic Daily: 30 November 2018 (2018/S 231 – 528034).

Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Iepirkumu uzraudzības biroja tīmekļvietnē: 2018.gada 29.novembrī.

Notice publication date at website of Procurement Monitoring Bureau: 29 November 2018.

Iepirkuma komisija (turpmāk – Iepirkuma komisija vai Komisija):

Procurement commission (hereinafter – Procurement commission or Commission):

Iepirkuma komisijas, kuras sastāvs apstiprināts ar RB Rail AS valdes 2018.gada 20.novembra rīkojumu Nr.11/2018-65a:  
Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājs J.Saija – Vadošais iepirkumu speciālists, Igaunija;  
Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja vietniece B.Übele – Iepirkumu speciāliste;  
Iepirkuma komisijas locekļi:  
K.Laansalu – Tehniskais projektu vadītājs;  
M.Toode – Ceļu inženieris;  
A.Zelčs – Jurists;  
K.Rudzis – Dzelzceļu inženieris;  
L.Betlere – Finanšu kontroliere;  
Iepirkuma komisijas sekretāre bez balsstiesībām R.Grigāne – Jaunākā iepirkumu speciāliste.

2019.gada 4.jūlijā tika izdots rīkojums Nr.11/2019-52 ar kuru tika izdarīti grozījumi 2018.gada 20.novembra rīkojumā Nr.11/2018-65a nosakot sekojošo:  
1. atcelt no darba iepirkuma komisijā iepirkuma komisijas locekli Kristapu Rudzi – Dzelzceļu inženieri.  
2019.gada 13.augustā tika izdots rīkojums Nr.11/2019-56 ar kuru tika izdarīti grozījumi 2018.gada 20.novembra rīkojumā Nr.11/2018-56 nosakot sekojošo:  
1. pamatojoties uz darba tiesisko attiecību izbeigšanu, atcelt no darba Iepirkuma komisijā Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāju Vadošo Iepirkumu speciālistu, J.Saija;  
2. Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja vietniecei Iepirkumu speciālistei B.Übelei pildīt Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājas pienākumus J.Saija vietā;  
3. papildināt Iepirkuma komisiju ar Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja vietnieku, Iepirkuma speciālistu J.Lukševicu;  
4. atcelt no darba Iepirkuma komisijā Iepirkuma komisijas locekli, Finanšu kontrolieri L.Betleri;  
5. papildināt Iepirkuma komisiju ar Iepirkuma komisijas locekli, Finanšu kontrolieri A.Soms.

2019.gada 7.oktobrī tika izdots rīkojums Nr.11/2019-68 ar kuru tika izdarīti grozījumi 2018.gada 20.novembra rīkojumā Nr.11/2018-56 nosakot sekojošo:

Procurement commission which composition has been approved by Order No 11/2018-65a, dated 20 November 2018:  
Procurement commission chairman J.Saija – Lead Procurement Specialist, Estonia;

Procurement commission vice-chairperson B.Übele – Procurement Specialist;  
Procurement commission members:  
K.Laansalu – Technical Project Manager;  
M.Toode – Roads Engineer;  
A.Zelčs – Lawyer;  
K.Rudzis – Railway Engineer;  
L.Betlere – Finance Controller;  
Procurement Commission secretary with no voting rights R.Grigāne – Junior Procurement Specialist.

On 4 July 2019 was issued Order No 11/2019-52 with amendments to the Order No 11/2018-65a dated 20 November 2019, determine following:

1. To recall Procurement commission member Kristaps Rudzis – Railway Engineer from the Procurement commission.
- On 13 August 2019 was issued Order No.11/2019-56 with amendments to the Order No.11/2018-56, dated 20 November 2018, determine following:
  1. based on the termination of the employment agreement, to recall Procurement commission chairman, Lead Procurement Specialist J.Saija from the Procurement commission;
  2. to substitute Procurement commission chairman J.Saija with Procurement commission vice-chairperson B.Übele;
  3. to add Procurement commission vice-chairman, Procurement Specialist J.Lukševics to the Procurement commission;
  4. to recall Procurement commission member, Finance Controller L.Betlere from the Procurement commission;
  5. to add the following Procurement commission member to the Procurement commission – Finance controller A.Soms.

On 7 October 2019 was issued Order No.11/2019-68 with amendments to the Order No.11/2018-56, dated 20 November 2018, determine following:

1. atcelt no darba iepirkuma komisijā iepirkuma komisijas locekli, Ceļu inženieri M.Toode;
2. papildināt iepirkuma komisiju ar iepirkuma komisijas locekli, Savstarpējās Savietojamības tehnisko ekspertu Sander Sorts.

2019.gada 22.novembrī tika izdots rīkojums Nr.11/2019-81 ar kuru tika izdarīti grozījumi 2018.gada 20.novembra rīkojumā Nr.11/2018-56 nosakot sekojošo:

1. atcelt no darba iepirkuma komisijā iepirkuma komisijas sekretāri R.Grigāni, Jaunāko iepirkumu speciālisti;
2. papildināt iepirkuma komisiju ar iepirkuma komisijas sekretāri (bez balsstiesībām) L.Kalniņa – iepirkumu speciāliste-juriste.

#### **Pieaicinātie eksperti (bez balsstiesībām):**

1. J.P.M.Dias, RB Rail AS Projekta plānošanas vadītājs;
2. U.Alber, RB Rail AS BIM & AIM Koordinators;
3. A.Smuškovs, RB Rail AS Projekta Pārskatu vadītājs;
4. M.Ulāns, RB Rail AS Teritorijas Plānošanas un Vides komandas vadītājs;
5. K.Klucis, RB Rail AS Vecākais Būvniecības un Hidroloģijas eksperts;
6. E.Būdvytytė, RB Rail AS Tiltu inženiere;
7. K.Kontson, RB Rail AS Ģeotehniskās izpētes un Būvniecības materiālu eksperts;
8. Ē.Diljevs – RB Rail AS Vecākais Ceļu un Komunikāciju komandas vadītājs;
9. O.Daugavietis – RB Rail AS Projekta Finanšu Departamenta vadītājs;
10. K.Piirsalu – RB Rail AS Iepirkumu direktors;
11. Tautvydas Jančis – RB Rail AS Plānošanas inženieris;
12. R.Bušmanis – RB Rail AS BIM Komandas vadītājs;
13. B.Zauere – RB Rail AS Vecākais jurists;
14. K.Sirk – RB Rail AS Celtais darbu un Staciju vadītājs;
15. K.Zimmermann – RB Rail AS Valdes loceklis, Tehniskais direktors (piesaistīts līdz 2019.gada 11.decembrim);
16. K.Rudzis – RB Rail AS Dzelzceļa inženieris;
17. V.Keiv – RB Rail AS Dzelzceļa Trases eksperts;
18. A.Salomets – Igaunijas Republikas Ekonomikas un Komunikāciju Ministrijas deleģētais eksperts;
19. Roland Murr – Igaunijas Republikas Ekonomikas un Komunikāciju Ministrijas deleģētais eksperts;

1. to recall Procurement commission member, Roads Engineer M.Toode from the Procurement commission;
2. to add the following Procurement commission member to the Procurement commission – Technical Interface expert Sander Sorts.

On 22 November 2019 was issued Order No.11/2019-81 with amendments to the Order No.11/2018-56, dated 20 November 2018, determine following:

1. to recall from Procurement commission Procurement commission secretary R.Grigāne – Junior Procurement Specialist;
2. to add the following commission secretary (with no voting rights) to the procurement commission L.Kalniņa – Procurement Specialist-Lawyer.

#### **Invited experts (with no voting rights):**

1. J.P.M.Dias, RB Rail AS Project Planning Manager;
2. U.Alber, RB Rail AS BIM & AIM Coordinator;
3. A. Smuškovs, RB Rail AS Project Reporting Manager;
4. M.Ulāns, RB Rail AS, Spatial Planning and Environmental Team Leader;
5. K.Klucis, RB Rail AS Senior Construction and Hydrology Expert;
6. E.Būdvytytė, RB Rail AS Bridge Engineer;
7. K.Kontson, RB Rail AS Geotechnical & Construction Materials Expert;
8. Ē.Diljevs, RB Rail AS Senior Roads and Utilities Team Leader;
9. O.Daugavietis – RB Rail AS Head of Global Project Finance Department;
10. K.Piirsalu – RB Rail AS Chief Procurement Officer;
11. Tautvydas Jančis – RB Rail AS Planning Engineer;
12. R.Bušmanis – RB Rail AS BIM Team Leader;
13. B. Zauere – RB Rail AS Senior Lawyer;
14. Kaupo Sirk – RB Rail AS Head of Civil Works and Stations;
15. K.Zimmermann – RB Rail AS Management Board member, acting Chief Technical Officer (involved until 11 December 2019);
16. K.Rudzis – RB Rail AS Railway Engineer;
17. V.Keiv – RB Rail AS Railway Track Expert;
18. A.Salomets – Nominated expert from Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of the Republic of Estonia;
19. Roland Murr - Nominated expert from Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of the Republic of Estonia;

20. Raido Kivikangur – Igaunijas Republikas Ekonomikas un Komunikāciju Ministrijas deleģētais eksperts.

20. Raido Kivikangur – Nominated expert from Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of the Republic of Estonia.

**Pretendentiem noteiktās kvalifikācijas prasības:** noteiktas konkursa procedūras ar sarunām „Būvprojektēšanas darbi un autoruzraudzība jaunam sliēžu ceļam posmā no Pärnu līdz Igaunijas – Latvijas valsts robežai” nolikumā.

**Qualification requirements for the Tenderers:** stipulated in regulations of the competitive procurement procedure with negotiation „Design and design supervision services for the construction of the new line from Pärnu to the Estonian – Latvian border”.

**Piedāvājuma izvēles kritērijs:**  
saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

**Selection criteria:**  
the most economically advantageous proposal.

**Pieteikumu iesniegšanas termiņš:**  
2019.gada 14.janvāris.

**Submission of the Applications:**  
14 January 2019.

**Piedāvājumu iesniegšanas termiņš:**  
2019.gada 1.oktobris.

**Submission date of Proposals:**  
1 October 2019.

**Pieteikumu un piedāvājumu atvēršanas vieta, datums un laiks:**

**Place, date and time of the opening of Applications and Proposals:**

**Pieteikumu atvēršana –** 2019.gada 14.janvārī, plkst. 16:00, E-lepirkumu sistēmā;

**Applications opening –** 14 January 2019, 16:00 o'clock E-Tender system;

**Piedāvājumu atvēršana –** 2019.gada 1.oktobrī, plkst.12:00, E-lepirkumu sistēmā.

**Proposals opening –** 1 October 2019, 12:00 o'clock, E-Tender system.

**Piegādātāju nosaukumi, kuri pieteikušies uz kandidātu atlasi un to pretendentu nosaukumi, kuri ir iesnieguši piedāvājumus, kā arī to piedāvātās cenas.**

**Names of the Suppliers who have applied for the selection of Candidates and the names of the Tenderers who have submitted Proposals and the offered prices.**

**Pieteikumus iesnieguši šādi Kandidāti:**

**Applications has been submitted by the following Candidates:**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>1) Personu apvienība AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, kas sastāv no:<br/>Amberg Engineering AG., Reģ. Nr. CHE-105.890.980;<br/>G.E.G., Lda, Reģ. Nr. 501957782;<br/>GREISCH Ingénierie S.A., Reģ. Nr. BE 04445.668.289;<br/>Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, Reģ. Nr. 489 626 135 R.C.S. Nanterre.</p> | <p>1) Group of suppliers AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, consisting of: Amberg Engineering AG., Reg. No. CHE-105.890.980; G.E.G., Lda, Reg. No. 501957782; GREISCH Ingénierie S.A., Reg. No. BE 04445.668.289; Ingérop Conseil et Ingénierie, Reg. No. 489 626 135 R.C.S. Nanterre.</p> |
| <p>2) Personu apvienība, kas sastāv no:<br/>Egis Rail S.A., Reģ. Nr. 968502559 RCS Lyon;<br/>DB Engineering &amp; Consulting GmbH, Reģ. Nr. HRB 56655 B (DE).</p>  | <p>2) Group of suppliers, consisting of:<br/>Egis Rail S.A., Reg. No. 968502559 RCS Lyon;<br/>DB Engineering &amp; Consulting GmbH, Reg. No. HRB 56655 B (DE).</p>  |
| <p>3) Personu apvienība TYPASA – SETEC – REM PRO, kas sastāv no:<br/>Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPASA), Reģ. Nr. A-28171288;<br/>Setec International, Reģ. Nr. 722 013 174;<br/>REM PRO SIA, Reģ. Nr. 41503041904.</p>   | <p>3) Group of suppliers TYPASA – SETEC – REM PRO, consisting of:<br/>Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPASA), Reg. No. A-28171288;<br/>Setec International, Reg. No. 722 013 174;<br/>REM PRO SIA, Reg. No. 41503041904.</p>   |
| <p>4) IDOM Consulting, Engineering, Architecture, S.A.U., Reģ. Nr. A48283964.</p>  | <p>4) IDOM Consulting, Engineering, Architecture, S.A.U., Reg. No. A48283964.</p>   |
| <p>5) Konsorcijs INECO-ARDANUY, kas sastāv no:<br/>Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., Reģ. Nr. A28220168;<br/>Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A., Reģ. Nr. A80480759.</p>  | <p>5) Consortium INECO-ARDANUY, consisting of:<br/>Ingeniería y Economía del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., Reg. No. A28220168;<br/>Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A., Reg. No. A80480759.</p>   |
| <p>6) Italferr S.p.A., Reģ. Nr. 06770620588.</p>   | <p>6) Italferr S.p.A., Reg. No. 06770620588.</p>  |
| <p>7) Personu apvienība OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten GmbH PROINTEC SA, kas sastāv no:<br/>OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten GmbH, Reģ. Nr. HRB 45902;<br/>PROINTEC S.A., Reģ. Nr. A-28246122.</p>   | <p>7) Association OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten GmbH PROINTEC SA, consisting of:<br/>OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten GmbH, Reg. No. HRB 45902;<br/>PROINTEC S.A., Reg. No. A-28246122.</p>  |
| <p>8) SENER Ingeniería y Sistemas, S.A., Reģ. Nr. CIF A48024723.</p>   | <p>8) SENER Ingeniería y Sistemas S.A., Reg. No. CIF A48024723.</p>   |

9) SYSTRA SA, Reg. Nr. 387 949 530 R.C.S. Paris.

Piedāvājumus iesnieguši pretendenti un to piedāvātās cenas (sākotnējie piedāvājumi):

Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN
Personu apvienība AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, kas sastāv no Amberg Engineering AG, reģ. Nr. CHE-105.890.980, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, reģ. Nr. 489 626 135 R.C.S., GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, reģ. Nr. 501957782, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A, reģ. Nr. VAT BE 04445.668.289.	17 542 787,71
Personu apvienība, kas sastāv no Egis Rail S.A., reģ. Nr. 968502559 un DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH, reģ. Nr. HRB 56655 B.	19 540 480,92
Konsorcijs INECO – ARDANUY, kas sastāv no Ingenieria y economia del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., reģ. Nr. M-85.151, un Ardanuy ingenieria S.A., reģ. Nr. M-83.764.	13 354 336,85
Italferr S.p.A, reģ. Nr. 06770620588.	22 851 740,00

9) SYSTRA SA, Reg. No. 387 949 530 R.C.S. Paris.

Proposals, submitted by the following Tenderers and proposed prices (initial proposals):

Tenderer	Proposed price EUR excl.VAT
Group of suppliers AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, consisting of Amberg Engineering AG, Reg. No CHE-105.890.980, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, Reg. No 489.626.135 R.C.S., GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, Reg. No 501957782, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A, Reg. No VAT BE 04445.668.289.	17 542 787,71
Group of Suppliers consisting of Egis Rail S.A., Reg. No 968502559, and DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH, Reg. No HRB 56655 B.	19 540 480,92
Consortium INECO – ARDANUY, consisting of Ingenieria y economia del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., Reg. No M-85.151, and Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A., Reg. No M-83.764.	13 354 336,85
Italferr S.p.A, Reg. No 06770620588.	22 851 740,00

Personu apvienība OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A., kas sastāv no OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, reģ. Nr. HRB 45902, un PROINTEC S.A., reģ. Nr. M-99.965.	10 779 467,86
Sener Ingeniería y sistemas, S.A., reģ. Nr. ES A 48024723.	13 189 562,17
Konsorcijs TYPESA – SETEC – REM PRO, kas sastāv no Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPESA), reģ. Nr. A-28171288, Setec International, reģ. Nr. 722 013 174, un REM PRO SIA, reģ. Nr. 41503041904.	17 515 000,00

Association OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A.U., consisting of OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, Reg. No HRB 45902, and PROINTEC S.A., Reg. No M-99.965.	10 779 467,86
Sener Ingeniería y sistemas, Reg. No ES A 48024723.	13 189 562,17
Consortium TYPESA – SETEC – REM PRO, consisting of Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPESA), Reg. No A-28171288, Setec International, Reg. No 722 013 174 and REM PRO SIA, Reg. No 41503041904.	17 515 000,00

**Piedāvājuma izvērtēšanas kritērijs:** Nolikuma 12.1. punkts: Saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.

**Proposal evaluation criteria:** Clause 12.1. of Regulations: The economically most advantageous proposal.

Saskaņā ar Nolikuma 13.1.punktu iepirkuma komisija piedāvājumus izvērtē divās kārtās – sākotnēji atlasa atbilstošos piedāvājumus saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.sadaļā noteikto piedāvājumu izvēles kritēriju un ielūdz uz sarunām tikai tos 3 (trīs) pretendētus, kas pēc izvērtēšanas saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.sadaļā noteikto piedāvājumu izvēles kritēriju, visos trīs kritērijos kopā (kritērijā A+B+C), ieguvuši vislielāko kopējo punktu skaitu saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.2.punktā noteikto.

In accordance with Clause 13.1. of Section 13 of the Regulation, Procurement commission assesses the Bids in two stages – at first it ranks the compliant Bids on the basis of the evaluation criteria set in Section 12 of Invitation and then invites for negotiations only 3 (three) Tenderers, who have earned the highest number of total points (criterion A + B + C) after the evaluation of Bids in accordance with the evaluation criteria set in Section 12 of Invitation, based on the total score under Section 12.2 of this Invitation.

Pretendentu iesniegtie piedāvājumi saskaņā ar kārtību, kas noteikta Nolikuma 13. sadaļas 13.1. punktā, ir saņēmuši šādu punktu skaitu par visiem kritērijiem kopā (kritērijs A+B+C) (detalizētu punktu sadalījumu lūdzu skatīt pielikumā Nr.1):

No	Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN	Iegūtie punkti
1.	Personu apvienība AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, kas sastāv no Amberg Engineering AG, reģ. Nr. CHE-105.890.980, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, reģ. Nr. 489 626 135 R.C.S., GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, reģ. Nr. 501957782, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A, reģ. Nr. VAT BE 04445.668.289.	17 542 787,71	Rejected*
2.	Personu apvienība OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A., kas sastāv no OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, reģ. Nr. HRB 45902, un PROINTEC S.A., reģ. Nr. M-99.965.	10 779 467,86	83

Proposals submitted by the Tenderers in accordance with order established in Clause 13.1. of Section 13 have received the following total scores in the first stage (please see the breakdown of total scores attached (Annex No 1):

No	Tenderer	Proposed price, EUR excl. VAT	Points assigned after evaluation
1.	Group of suppliers AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, consisting of Amberg Engineering AG, Reg. No CHE-105.890.980, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, Reg. No 489.626.135 R.C.S., GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, Reg. No 501957782, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A, Reg. No VAT BE 04445.668.289.	17 542 787,71	Rejected*
2.	Association OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A.U., consisting of OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, Reg. No HRB 45902, and PROINTEC S.A., Reg. No M-99.965.	10 779 467,86	83
3.	Consortium INECO – ARDANUY, consisting of Ingenieria y economía del	13 354 336,85	81,04



3.	Konsorcijs INECO – ARDANUY, kas sastāv no Inženierija y economía del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., reģ. Nr. M-85.151, un Ardanuy ingeniería S.A., reģ. Nr. M-83.764.	13 354 336,85	81,04
4.	Sener Ingeniería y sistemas, S.A., reģ. Nr. ESA 48024723.	13 189 562,17	74,69
5.	Personu apvienība, kas sastāv no Egis Rail S.A., reģ. Nr. 968502559 un DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH, reģ. Nr. HRB 56655 B.	19 540 480,92	67,57
6.	Italferr S.p.A, reģ. Nr. 06770620588.	22 851 740,00	65,95
7.	Konsorcijs TYPESA – SETEC – REM PRO, kas sastāv no Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPESA), reģ. Nr. A-28171288, Setec International, reģ. Nr. 722 013 174, un REM PRO SIA, reģ. Nr. 41503041904.	17 515 000,00	51,37

	transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., Reg. No M-85.151, and Ardanuy Ingeniería S.A., Reg. No M-83.764.	13 189 562,17	74,69
4.	Sener Ingeniería y sistemas, S.A., Reg. No ES A 48024723.	19 540 480,92	67,57
5.	Group of Suppliers consisting of Egis Rail S.A., Reg. No 968502559, and DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH, Reg. No HRB 56655 B.	22 851 740,00	65,95
6.	Italferr S.p.A, Reg.No 06770620588.	17 515 000,00	51,37
7.	Consortium TYPESA – SETEC – REM PRO, consisting of Técnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPESA), Reg. No A-28171288, Setec International, Reg. No 722 013 174 and REM PRO SIA, Reg. No 41503041904.		

Kandidātu skaitu samazināšanas gadījumā norāda izraudzīto kandidātu nosaukumus un to izraudzīšanās iemeslus, noraidīto kandidātu nosaukumus un to noraidīšanas iemeslus:  
Netika piemērots.

Pretendentu skaita samazināšanas gadījumā norāda izraudzīto pretendentu nosaukumus un to izraudzīšanās iemeslus, noraidīto kandidātu nosaukumus un to noraidīšanas iemeslus:

Saskaņā ar Nolikuma 13.1.punktu iepirkuma komisija piedāvājumus izvērtē divās kārtās – sākotnēji atlasa atbilstošos piedāvājumus saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.sadaļā noteikto piedāvājumu izvēles kritēriju un ielūdz uz sarunām tikai tos 3 (trīs) pretendentus, kas pēc izvērtēšanas saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.sadaļā noteikto piedāvājumu izvēles kritēriju, visos trīs kritērijos kopā (kritēriji A+B+C) ieguvuši vislielāko kopējo punktu skaitu saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.2.punktā noteikto.

2019.gada 25.oktobrī iepirkuma komisija saskaņā ar Nolikuma 13.1., 13.5. un 13.6. punktu pieņēma lēmumu rīkot sarunas.

Gadījumā, ja iepirkuma komisija nolemj (kas ir Komisijas vienpersonisks, neatkarīgs lēmums) piemērot sarunas, saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.sadaļas 12.4.punktu iepirkuma komisijai jāpiemēro piedāvājumu izvēles kritērijs (noteikts Nolikuma 12.sadaļas 12.1.punktā), saskaņā ar kuru izvērtē atbilstošos piedāvājumus, lai nonāktu pie 3 (trīs) pretendentiem, kuri ieguvuši augstāko kopējo punktu skaitu visos kritērijos kopā (kritēriji A+B+C).

Saskaņā ar Nolikuma 13.sadaļas 12.4., 13.1. un 13.6.punktu iepirkuma komisija uz sarunām uzaicināja 3 (trīs) pretendentus, kuri saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.1. un 12.2. punktu pēc piedāvājumu sākotnējās izvērtēšanas saņēma visaugstāko punktu skaitu par visiem kritērijiem kopā (kritērijs A+B+C):

In a case of reduction of Candidates, indicate selected Candidate`s names and the reason for their selection, rejected Candidates names and reasons for rejection:  
Not applicable.

In a case of reduction of Tenderers, indicate selected Tenderer`s names and the reason for their selection, rejected Tenderer`s names and reasons for rejection:

In accordance with Clause 13.1. of Section 13 of the Regulation, Procurement commission assesses the Bids in two stages – at first it ranks the compliant Bids on the basis of the evaluation criteria set in Section 12 of Invitation and then invites for negotiations only 3 (three) Tenderers, who have earned the highest number of total points (criterion A + B + C) after the evaluation of Bids in accordance with the evaluation criteria set in Section 12 of Invitation, based on the total score under Section 12.2 of this Invitation.

On 25 October 2019 Procurement commission in accordance with Clause 13.1., 13.5. and 13.6. of Regulations made its decision to apply Negotiations.

In accordance with Clause 12.4 of the Section 12 of Regulations Procurement commission shall apply the Contract Award Criteria (established in Clause 12.1. of the Section 12 of Regulations) to the compliant initial proposals submitted by the Tenderers to derive at a list of Tenderers selected for the Negotiation stage (3 (three) Tenderers with the highest score in total (criterion A+B+C)), in case the Contracting Authority deems it necessary (at its sole discretion) to apply its right to hold Negotiations.

Procurement commission provided evaluation of all proposals submitted and in accordance with Clause 12.4., 13.1. and 13.6. of Section 13 of Regulations invited to the Negotiations 3 (three) Tenderers, who in accordance with

Pretdentents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN	Iegūtie punkti
Personu apvienība OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A.U., kas sastāv no OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, reģ. Nr. HRB 45902, un PROINTEC S.A., reģ. Nr. M-99.965.	10 779 467,86	83
Konsorcijs INECO – ARDANUY, kas sastāv no Inženierija y economía del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., reģ. Nr. M-85.151, un Ardanuy ingenieria S.A., reģ. Nr. M-83.764.	13 354 336,85	81,04
Sener Ingenieria y sistemas, S.A., reģ. Nr. ES A 48024723.	13 189 562,17	74,69

**Noraidītie pretendenti\*:** Personu apvienība AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, kas sastāv no Amberg Engineering AG, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG Ingenierie, GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A.

Izvērtējot pretendenta, personu apvienības AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, kas sastāv no Amberg Engineering AG, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A., iesniegto piedāvājuma nodrošinājumu Nr. [.] (*garantija izdota [.] turpmāk – garantija vai piedāvājuma nodrošinājums*), lēpirkuma komisija konstatēja, ka pretendenta iesniegtais piedāvājuma nodrošinājums neatbilst Nolikuma 4.3.5. punkta prasībām, jo Pretendenta iesniegtajā piedāvājuma nodrošinājumā ir norādīts, ka visas prasības un strīdi saistībā ar garantiju tiks izskatīti Portugāles tiesā saskaņā ar Portugāles valsts likumdošanu.

Clause 12.1. and 12.2. of Regulations after evaluation received the highest scores in total (criterion A+B+C) after initial evaluation of proposals:

Tenderer	Proposed price EUR excl.VAT	Total scores
Association OBERMEYER – Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A.U., consisting of OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, Reg. No HRB 45902, and PROINTEC S.A., Reg. No M-99.965.	10 779 467,86	83
Consortium INECO – ARDANUY, consisting of Ingenieria y economía del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., Reg. No M-85.151, and Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A., Reg. No M-83.764.	13 354 336,85	81,04
Sener Ingenieria y sistemas, S.A., Reg. No ES A 48024723.	13 189 562,17	74,69

**Rejected Tenderers\*:** Group of suppliers AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, consisting of Amberg Engineering AG, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A.

While performing evaluation of the Bid security No [.] (*bank guarantee issued on [.] hereinafter – Guarantee or Bid security*) submitted by the Tenderer Group of suppliers AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, consisting of Amberg Engineering AG, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A., Procurement commission found out that the tenderer's submitted Guarantee does not comply with requirements stipulated in the Clause 4.3.5 of the Regulation, as in accordance with rules

Saskaņā ar Nolikuma 4.3.5. punktā minēto Garantijai jāatbilst šādiem nosacījumiem: „Garantijai jāpieņemo Starptautiskās palātas [International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)] izdotos Vienotos noteikumus par pieprasījuma garantijām (Uniform Rules for Demand Guaranties, ICC Publication No. 758), bet lietās, kuras nereglamentē iepriekš minētā Starptautiskā palāta (ICC), piemēro Latvijas Republikas likumdošanu. Visām prasībām un strīdiem saistībā ar garantiju jātiek izskatītiem Latvijas Republikās tiesā saskaņā ar Latvijas Republikas likumdošanu”.

Papildus iepriekš minētajam iepirkuma komisija konstatēja, ka Bankas Garantija ir izsniegta nepareizam adresātam, t.i., kā adresāts (Pasūtītājs / piedāvājuma nodrošinājuma saņēmējs) ir norādīts “Rail Baltic Estonia OU”.

Saskaņā ar Nolikuma 1.6. punktu Pasūtītājs ir RB Rail AS. Saskaņā ar Nolikuma 4.3. punktu bankas garantijas izsniedzējam (nodrošinājuma devējam) pēc pirmā Pasūtītāja pieprasījuma jāaņem izmaksas izmaksāt Pasūtītājam nodrošinājuma summu gadījumos, kas noteikti Nolikuma 4.7. punktā.

2019.gada 14. oktobrī, pamatojoties uz visiem augstāk minētiem apstākļiem, iepirkuma komisija secināja, ka pretendenta, personu apvienības AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, kas sastāv no Amberg Engineering AG, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG Gabinetete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A., iesniegtā Garantija neatbilst Nolikuma 4.3.5. punktā un 4.3.1. punktā noteiktajām prasībām, un nolēma noraidīt pretendenta piedāvājumu kā neatbilstošu, un saskaņā ar Nolikuma 9.1. punktu nolēma pretendenta piedāvājumu tālāk nevērtēt.

**Sarunu posmi un to rezultāti:**  
**Sarunu posmi: 1 (viens) sarunu posms.**

indicated in the Guarantee this guarantee and all matters arising out of or relating to this guarantee shall be governed by, and this guarantee shall be construed in accordance with the laws of Portugal and only the courts of Portugal shall have jurisdiction hereunder.

In accordance with Clause 4.3.5. of the Regulation the bank guarantee must comply with the following requirements: „The Uniform Rules for Demand Guarantees (URDG), ICC Publication No 758, issued by the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), shall apply to the guarantee, but laws of the Republic of Latvia shall apply in matters not regulated by the aforementioned International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Rules. Any claims and disputes regarding the Security shall be heard in the courts of the Republic of Latvia, in accordance with the laws of the Republic of Latvia”.

In addition, Procurement commission found out that Guarantee has been addressed to the wrong addressee (Contracting Authority / Beneficiary) “Rail Baltic Estonia OU”.

In accordance with Clause 1.6. of the Regulation Contracting Authority is RB Rail AS. In accordance with Clause 4.3. of the Regulation the guarantor must pledge to pay the Security on the first demand of the Contracting Authority in cases stipulated in Section 4.7.

On 14 October 2019, observing all the circumstances mentioned above, Procurement commission concluded that Tenderer's: Group of suppliers AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, consisting of Amberg Engineering AG, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG Gabinetete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A., submitted Bid security does not meet the requirements set in Clause 4.3.5 and Clause 4.3.1. of the Regulation and decided to reject Tenderer's proposal as incompliant, and in accordance with the Clause 9.1 of the Regulations excluded the proposal from further evaluation.

**Stages of Negotiations and results of Negotiations:**  
**Stages: 1 (one) stage of Negotiations.**

**Sarunu posma rezultāti:**

Sarunu norises laiks: 2019.gada 8.novembris (Sener Ingenieria y sistemas, S.A.), 11.2019.gada 11.novembris (Personu apvieniba OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A.), 2019.gada 12.novembris (Konsorcijs INECO – ARDANUY, kas sastāv no OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH un PROINTEC S.A.), 2019.gada 12.novembris (Konsorcijs INECO – ARDANUY, kas sastāv no Ingenieria y economia del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A. un Ardanuy ingenieria S.A.).

Datums un laiks gala piedāvājumu iesniegšanai: 2019.gada 4.decembris, plkst. 12:00.

**Sarunu rezultāti:**

Gala piedāvājumi, kurus iepirkuma komisijas noteiktajā termiņā un laikā iesniedza 3 (tris) pretendenti, kuri saskaņā ar Nolikuma 12.1. un 12.2.punktu pēc to izvērtēšanas saņēma visaugstāko punktu skaitu un saskaņā ar Nolikuma 13.1. un 13.6.punktu tika uzaicināti uz sarunām, pēc to gala piedāvājumu izvērtēšanas saskaņā ar Nolikuma 13.14.punktu un Nolikuma 12.1. punktā noteikto piedāvājumu izvēles kritēriju, ir saņēmuši šādu punktu skaitu par visiem kritērijiem kopā (kritērijs A+B+C)(*detalizētu punktu sadalījumu lūdzu skatīt pielikumā Nr.2*):

Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN	Iegūtie punkti
Personu apvienība OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A., kas sastāv no OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, reģ. Nr. HRB 45902, un PROINTEC S.A., reģ. Nr. M-99.965.	10 779 467,86	91,67

**Results of Negotiations:**

**Negotiations held on:** 8 November 2019 (Sener Ingenieria y sistemas, S.A.), 11 November 2019 (Association OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A.), consisting of OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH and PROINTEC S.A.), 12 November 2019 (Consortium INECO – ARDANUY, consisting of Ingenieria y economia del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., Reg. No M-85.151, and Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A.).

**Date and time for the submission of Final proposals:** 4 December 2019, 12:00 o'clock.

**Results of Negotiations:**

**Final Proposals submitted within the Procurement commission's set date and time by the 3 (three) Tenderers who in accordance with Clause 12.1. and 12.2. of Regulations after evaluation received the highest scores and in accordance with Clause 13.1. and 13.6. of Regulations were invited to Negotiations, after submission and evaluation of their Final proposals in accordance with Clause 13.14. of Regulations and proposal selection criteria established in Clause 12.1 of Regulation, have received the following total scores (please see the breakdown of total scores attached (Annex No 2):**

Tenderer	Proposed price EUR excl.VAT	Total scores
Association OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A., consisting of OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, Reg. No HRB 45902, and PROINTEC S.A., Reg. No M-99.965.	10 779 467,86	91,67

Konsorcijs INECO – ARDANUY, kas sastāv no Ingeniería y economía del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., reģ. Nr. M-85.151, un Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A., reģ. Nr. M-83.764.	13 354 336,85	86,37
Sener Ingenieria y sistemas, S.A., reģ. Nr. ES A 48024723.	13 189 562,17	81,69

Consortium INECO – ARDANUY, consisting of Ingenieria y economía del transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A., Reg. No M-85.151, and Ardanuy Ingenieria S.A., Reg. No M-83.764.	13 354 336,85	86,37
Sener Ingenieria y sistemas, S.A., Reg. No ES A 48024723	13 189 562,17	81,69

Tā pretendenta nosaukums, ar kuru nolemts slēgt iepirkuma līgumu, piedāvātā līgumcena, kā arī piedāvājumu izvērtēšanas kopsavilkums un pamatojums piedāvājuma izvēlei:

Pretendents	Piedāvātā cena EUR bez PVN	Kopējie punkti
Personu apvienība OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A., kas sastāv no OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, reģ. Nr. HRB 45902, un PROINTEC S.A., reģ. Nr. M-99.965	10 779 467,86	91,67

The Tenderer awarded the contract signing rights and justification for the decision to award the contract signing rights:

Tenderer	Proposed price EUR excl.VAT	Total scores
Association OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A.U., consisting of OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, Reg. No HRB 45902, and PROINTEC S.A., Reg. No M-99.965	10 779 467,86	91,67

Nemot vērā, ka pretendenta, personu apvienības OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH – PROINTEC S.A., kas sastāv no OBERMEYER Planen+Beraten GmbH, un PROINTEC S.A. iesniegtais piedāvājums pilnībā atbilst visām iepirkuma Nolikumā noteiktajām prasībām un ir saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums, Iepirkuma komisija, pamatojoties uz Nolikuma 12.dalās 12.1.punktu, kā arī Publisko iepirkumu likuma 51.panta pirmo daļu, 2020.gada 17.janvārī nolēma piešķirt pretendentam, personu apvienībai OBERMEYER Planen + Beraten GmbH – Prointec S.A., kas sastāv no Obermeyer Planen + Beraten GmbH un Prointec S.A., līguma slēgšanas tiesības par kopējo līguma summu

Considering that proposal submitted by the Tenderer Association Obermeyer Planen + Beraten GmbH – Prointec S.A., consisting of Obermeyer Planen + Beraten GmbH and Prointec S.A., meets all requirements established in Regulations and the Tenderer has submitted the economically most advantageous proposal, Procurement commission in accordance with Clause 12.1. of Section 12 of Regulations and in accordance with Section (1) of Article 51 of the Public Procurement Law of the Republic of Latvia on 17 January 2020 decided to award the contract signing rights to the Tenderer, Association Obermeyer Planen + Beraten GmbH – Prointec S.A., consisting of Obermeyer

Būvprojektēšanas un autoruzraudzības darbiem EUR 10 779 467,86 (desmit miljoni septiņi tūkstoši septiņdesmit deviņi un četri simti sešdesmit septiņi euro un astoņdesmit seši centi) bez PVN apmērā.

Planen + Beraten GmbH and Prointec S.A., for the total proposed contract price for the Design and Design Supervision services in amount of EUR 10 779 467,86 (ten million seven hundred seventy-nine thousand four hundred sixty-seven euros, eighty-six cents) excl. VAT.

**Pretendenta, ar kuru tiks slēgts līgums, piesaistītie apakšuzņēmēji un tiem nododamo darbu apraksts:**

**Sub-contractors of Tenderer awarded the contract rights and description of the services assigned to them:**

Nav.

None.

**Pamatojums lēmumam par katru noraidīto kandidātu un pretendentu, kā arī par katru iepirkuma procedūras dokumentiem neatbilstošu pieteikumu un piedāvājumu:**

**Justification for every rejected Candidate and Tenderer, as well as for every application and proposal incompliant with procurement procedure documents:**

**Noraidītie kandidāti – nav.**

**Rejected Candidates – None.**

**Noraidītie pretendenti:** Personu apvienība AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, kas sastāv no Amberg Engineering AG, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A. Pamatojumu piedāvājuma noraidīšanai lūdzu skatīt šī Ziņojuma sadaļā **Noraidītie pretendenti\***.

**Rejected Tenderers:** Group of suppliers AIG2: AMBERG-INGEROP-GREISCH-GEG, consisting of Amberg Engineering AG, Ingerop Conseil et Ingenierie, GEG Gabinete de Estruturas e Geotecnia Lda, GREISCH Ingenierie S.A. Please see the grounds for rejection of the proposal in Section **Rejected Tenderers\*** of this Report.

**Lēmuma pamatojums, ja iepirkuma komisija pieņēmusi lēmumu pārtraukt vai izbeigt iepirkuma procedūru:**  
Netika piemērots.

**Grounds for decision, if Procurement Commission make a decision to terminate or discontinue procurement procedure:**  
Not applicable.

**Piedāvājuma noraidīšanas pamatojums, ja iepirkuma komisija atzinusi piedāvājumu par nepamatoti lētu:**  
Netika piemērots.

**Grounds for rejection, if Procurement Commission make a decision to reject Tenderer proposal as abnormally low:**  
Not applicable.

**Konstatētie interešu konflikti un pasākumi, kas veikti to novēršanai:**

**Conflicts of interest identified, and measures taken to prevent such:**

2019.gada 1.oktobrī (piedāvājumu atvēršanas diena), pēc iepazīšanās ar pretendentu un to piesaistīto apakšuzņēmēju sarakstu, iepirkuma komisijas

On 1 October 2019 (opening of Proposals date) after acquaintance with list of Tenderers and their involved sub-contractors Procurement commission member

loceklis informēja iepirkuma komisiju par savu saistību ar vienu no pretendenta piesaistītajiem apakšuzņēmējiem, kura ir izbeigusies pēdējo 24 mēnešu laikā. Lai gan saistība tiešā veidā neatbilst Publisko Iepirkumu likuma 25.panta pirmās daļas izpratnei, iepirkuma komisijas loceklis lūdza sevi atcelt no darba iepirkuma komisijā. Lai izvairītos no iespējamās interešu konflikta situācijas, iepirkuma komisija nolēma atcelt iepirkuma komisijas locekli no turpmākas darbības iepirkuma komisijā.

informed Procurement commission that it has had relation with one of Tenderer's involved sub-contractors and this relation has ended within the previous 24 months. Although respective relation does not meet the exact definition established in Section (1) of Article 25 of Public Procurement Law of Republic of Latvia, Procurement commission member asked Procurement commission to recall himself from the Procurement commission. Procurement commission decided to recall Procurement commission member from further participation in the Procurement immediately in order to prevent possible conflict of interest situation.

Pielikumā:

1. Sākotnējā piedāvājumu izvērtējuma kopsavilkums uz 1 (viens) lapas;
2. Gala piedāvājumu izvērtējuma kopsavilkums uz 1 (viens) lapas.

Enclosed:  
Annex No.1 Initial Proposals Evaluation Summary on 1 (one) page.  
Annex No.2 Final Proposals Evaluation Summary on 1 (one) page.



Komisijas priekšsēdētāja/ Commission chairperson

B.Ūbele



Annex No 1 "Initial Evaluation Summary table of the results of Procurement Commission members individual evaluation in competitive procedure with negotiation "Design and Design Supervision Services for the Construction of the New Line from Pärnu to Estonian - Latvian Border", ID No RBR 2018/28"

	Group of suppliers, consisting of Egis Rail S.A. in association with DB Engineering & Consulting GmbH		Consortium INECO-ARDANUY, consisting of: INGENIERIA Y ECONOMIA DEL TRANSPORTE, S.M.E.M.P., S.A. and ARDANUY INGENIERIA S.A.		Italfair S.p.A.		PlänenBeraten GmbH – Prointec S.A.U. consisting of: Obermeyer PlänenBeraten GmbH and Prointec S.A.		Sener Ingeniería y sistemas, S.A.		Consortium TYPISA – SETEC – REM PRO, consisting of: Tecnica y Proyectos S.A. (TYPISA), Setec International, REM PRO SIA					
	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Highly Detailed	Low level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Highly Detailed	Low level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Highly Detailed	Low level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Insufficient additional level of detail
B.1. Understanding of different aspects of the assignment (in accordance with 5.3.1)	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0
B.2. Procurement Commission member No 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B.3. Service provision methodology (approach) in accordance with 5.3.3)	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0
Procurement Commission member No 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B.4. Quality assurance methodology (in accordance with 5.3.4)	5	3	1.5	0	5	3	1.5	0	5	3	1.5	0	5	3	1.5	0
Procurement Commission member No 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B.5. Quality assurance methodology (in accordance with 5.3.4)	5	3	1.5	0	5	3	1.5	0	5	3	1.5	0	5	3	1.5	0
Procurement Commission member No 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	15,5		18,75		19,08		19		16		10,75	
	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score
Key experts	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	2
Project manager	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
Design manager	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	2
Railway track designer	2	5	4	5	3	5	2	5	3	3	1	1
Railway bridge designer	2	5	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2
Road designer	2	4	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	2	1
Geotechnical expert	4	2	3	4	3	4	3	4	2	4	3	4
Environmental expert	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	1
Score for Professional experience of the key experts "Criterion A" (obtained in accordance with order set in Section 12.6 and methodology set in Clause 12.6.4 of the 2nd stage Regulation)	30	30	28	28	24	24	26	26	16	16	16	16
Proposed Total Contract Prices (EUR excl. Vat)	19 540 480,92	13 354 396,85	22 851 740,00	10 779 467,86	13 189 562,17	17 515 000,00	13 189 562,17	17 515 000,00	13 189 562,17	17 515 000,00	17 515 000,00	17 515 000,00
Score for Total Price "Criterion C" (obtained in accordance with order set in Section 12.8 and formula set in Clause 12.8.1 of the 2nd stage Regulation, i.e., Final Proposal points = lowest price among the compliant bids divided by price of the specific bid and multiplied by 40)	22,07	32,29	18,87	40	32,69	24,62	32,69	24,62	24,62	24,62	24,62	24,62
<b>TOTAL SCORE:</b>	<b>67,57</b>	<b>81,04</b>	<b>65,95</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>74,69</b>	<b>51,37</b>	<b>74,69</b>	<b>51,37</b>	<b>74,69</b>	<b>51,37</b>	<b>51,37</b>	<b>51,37</b>



Annex No 2 "Final Proposals Evaluation Summary table of the results of Procurement Commission members individual evaluation in competitive procedure with negotiation "Design and Design Supervision Services for the Construction of the New Line from Pärnu to Estonian - Latvian Border", ID No RBR 2018/28, according to Best and Final Proposals													
Consortium INECO-ARDANUY, consisting of: INGENIERIA Y ECONOMIA DEL TRANSPORTE, S.M.E.M.P., S.A. and ARDANUY INGENIERIA S.A. (based on Best and Final Proposal)			Association Obermeyer Planen+Beraten GmbH -- Printec S.A.U, consisting of: Obermeyer Planen+Beraten GmbH and Printec S.A. (based on Best and Final Proposal)			Sener Ingenieria y sistemas, S.A. (based on Best and Final Proposal)							
B.1 Understanding of different aspects of the assignment (in accordance with 5.3.1)	Max	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail
		10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0
Procurement Commission member No 1		0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 2		0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3		0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4		0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5		0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6		0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
B.2 Schedule of provision of Design services (Gantt chart) (in accordance with 5.3.2)		Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail
Procurement Commission member No 1	10	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0	10	6	3	0
Procurement Commission member No 2		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6		10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
B.3 Service provision methodology (approach) (in accordance with 5.3.3)		Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail
Procurement Commission member No 1	5	5	3	1,5	0	5	3	1,5	0	5	3	1,5	0
Procurement Commission member No 2		5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3		5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4		5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5		5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6		5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
B.4 Quality assurance methodology (in accordance with 5.3.4)		Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail	Highly Detailed	Medium level of detail	Low level of detail	Insufficient level of detail
Procurement Commission member No 1	5	5	3	1,5	0	5	3	1,5	0	5	3	1,5	0
Procurement Commission member No 2		0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 3		0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 4		0	0	1,5	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 5		0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
Procurement Commission member No 6		5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Number of Procurement commission members participated in evaluation (used for evaluation purposes in accordance with Clause 12.7.1 of the 2nd stage Regulation)													
6													
Score for Quality of Technical proposal "Criterion B" (obtained in accordance with order set in Section 12.7, Clause 12.7.1 and methodology set in Clause 12.7.3 of the 2nd stage Regulation, i.e., Score = Total of B.1+B.2+B.3+B.4 and divided by 6 (number of Procurement commission members participated in the evaluation))		24,08	27,67			23							
Key experts		No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score	No of compliant projects	Score						
Project manager		4	4	1	1	3	4						
Design manager		3	6	2	6	2	6						
Railway track designer		4	5	2	5	3	3						
Railway bridge designer		2	5	5	5	3	3						
Road designer		3	2	3	2	3	2						
Geotechnical expert		3	4	4	4	2	4						
Environmental expert		7	4	4	1	5	4						
Score for Professional experience of the Key experts "Criterion A" (obtained in accordance with order set in Section 12.6 and methodology set in Clause 12.6.4 of the 2nd stage Regulation. Scores remained unchanged as "Criterion A" was not a subject for Negotiations and improvements)		30	24			26							
Total Proposed Contract Prices (EUR excl.VAT) (after Negotiations remained unchanged)		13 354 336,85		10 779 467,86		13 189 562,17							
Score for Total Price "Criterion C" (obtained in accordance with order set in Section 12.8 and formula set in Clause 12.8.1 of the 2nd stage Regulation, i.e., Financial Proposal points = lowest price among the compliant Bids divided by price of the specific Bid and multiplied by 40)		32,29		40		32,69							
TOTAL SCORE:		86,37		91,67		81,69							

