

Rīga

23.10.2019

Our Ref: 6.1p/358

**Answers to questions from interested suppliers
in competitive procedure with negotiations "Notified Body (NoBo)
services for Rail Baltica trackside infrastructure
TSI conformity assessment (verification)",
identification number RBR 2019/19**

RB Rail AS presents following answers to the questions received from the interested suppliers until 23 October 2019:

Nr.	Questions	Answers
1.	<p>We started (or wanted) to complete the ESPD form via http://espd.eis.gov.lv/. Unfortunately, we were not able to find a prepared .xml file, which is often provided, or the identification number in the tender documentation, which pre-fills and adapts the ESPD form according to your needs and this specific competitive procedure.</p> <p>In order to complete the file according to your requirements, I would like to ask you if you could share such specifications.</p>	<p>Procurement commission indicates that in case when candidate chooses to submit European single procurement document (hereinafter – ESPD) as initial proof, it has to create ESPD themselves and submit it as an ESPD response document by filling in all requested information. Please visit ESPD online tool http://espd.eis.gov.lv/ and follow these instructions -> choose a language -> click button "I am an economic operator" -> click "Create response" -> Select the country where your business is related -> click "Next" -> fill in all the necessary information.</p>
2.	<p>Clause 4.1.(3) – We intend on submitting more than one reference project to demonstrate our experience in delivering Notified Body Services across Europe. Do we need to provide a detailed project description of 15 pages for each reference project submitted under this clause? Or else, can we use those 15 pages to provide</p>	<p>Procurement commission indicates that with Section 4.1.3 of the competitive procedure with negotiations "Notified Body (NoBo) services for Rail Baltica trackside infrastructure TSI conformity assessment (verification)" (hereinafter – Competition) regulations (hereinafter – Regulations) sets the requirements for key experts (Project Leader</p>

	<p>a mixed description of all our reference projects?</p>	<p>and Lead Assessors for TSI's). To prove that key experts meets the requirements set in the Section mentioned before, Candidate shall submit a filled and signed Annex No 4 and CV of each proposed key expert. It is not mandatory to submit a detailed project description for reference projects of key experts.</p> <p>If the potential supplier has incorrectly referred to the Section of the Regulations and the question is regarding the Section 4.1.2 of the Regulations, Procurement commission indicates that to prove that candidate meets the requirements set in the Section 4.1.2 of the Regulations, candidate shall submit a filled and signed Annex No 3 and detailed reference project description. As Procurement commission for evaluation purposes is interested to receive a sufficiently detailed reference project description, description shall be submitted for <u>each project</u>. Additionally, Procurement commission points out that each description should be <u>no longer than 15 (fifteen) pages</u>.</p>
<p>3.</p>	<p>Clause 4.1.(3) – If some of our reference projects are not 100% compliant with Rail Baltica's requirements (e.g.: No new stations, but all other requirements are compliant), can we still use those reference projects, or would those partially-compliant projects not be analyzed at all?</p>	<p>Procurement commission indicates that reference project shall meet all technical parameters mentioned in the Section 4.1.2 of the Regulations (a-f)). If candidates indicated reference project will not fully meet the requirements (including all technical parameters mentioned before within one reference project), Procurement commission will not recognize it as a compliant reference project.</p>

Procurement commission chairperson

A. Benfelde