

**Atklāta konkursa „Rail Baltica enerģijas apgādes apakšsistēmas iepirkums un ieviešanas stratēģija”, identifikācijas numurs RBR 2018/8**

**Open competition “Rail Baltica energy subsystem procurement and deployment strategy”  
Identification number RBR 2018/8**

**Ziņojums /Report**

Rīgā  
Riga

2018.gada 9.novembrī  
09 November 2018

<b>Pasūtītājs:</b> Akciju sabiedrība RB Rail AS, reģistrācijas numurs: 40103845025, juridiskā adrese: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Rīga, LV-1010, Latvija.	<b>Contracting authority:</b> Joint stock company RB Rail AS, registration number 40103845025, registered address: Krišjāņa Valdemāra iela 8-7, Riga, LV-1010, Latvia.
<b>Iepirkuma procedūra un identifikācijas numurs:</b> atklāts konkurss „Rail Baltica enerģijas apgādes apakšsistēmas un ieviešanas stratēģija”, identifikācijas numurs RBR 2018/8.	<b>Procurement procedure and identification number:</b> open competition “Rail Baltica energy subsystem procurement and deployment strategy”, identification number RBR 2018/8.
<b>Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts Eiropas Savienības Oficiālajā Vēstnesī:</b> 2018. gada 26.maijā (Nr: 226149-2018).	<b>Notice publication date at TED Tenders Electronic Daily:</b> 26 May 2018 (No: 226149-2018).
<b>Datums, kad paziņojums par līgumu publicēts iepirkumu uzraudzības birojā:</b> 2018.gada 26.maijā.	<b>Notice publication date at Procurement Monitoring Bureau:</b> 26 May 2018.
<b>Datums, kad paziņojums par grozījumiem publicēts iepirkumu uzraudzības birojā:</b> 2018.gada 24.jūnijā.	<b>Notice on amendments publication date at Procurement monitoring bureau:</b> 24 June 2018.
<b>Iepirkuma komisija:</b> apstiprināta ar RB Rail AS valdes 2018.gada 22.maija rīkojumu Nr. 11/2018-27 un 2018.gada 2.oktobra rīkojumu Nr.11/2018-58 šādā sastāvā:	<b>Procurement commission:</b> composition has been approved by order No 11/2018-27 dated 22 May 2018 and order No 11/2018-58 dated 02 October 2018 consisting of:
Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētājs Mārtiņš Blaus – Iepirkumu vadītājs; Iepirkuma komisijas priekšsēdētāja vietnieks Antanas Šnirpūnas – Dzelzceļa sistēmu inženieris; Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis Lauris Ivanovs – Tehniskās mijiedarbības vadītājs; Iepirkuma komisijas loceklis Mārtiņš Krauklis – Tehnisko projektu vadītājs; Iepirkuma komisijas locekle/sekretāre Linda Kalniņa – Iepirkumu speciāliste-juriste.	Procurement commission chairman Mārtiņš Blaus, Procurement Manager; Procurement commission vice-chairman Antanas Šnirpūnas -Railway Systems Engineer; Procurement commission expert Lauris Ivanovs – Technical Interface Manager; Procurement commission member Mārtiņš Krauklis – Technical Project Manager; Procurement commission member/secretary Linda Kalniņa – Procurement Specialist-lawyer.
<b>Pretendentiem noteiktās kvalifikācijas prasības:</b> noteiktas atklāta konkursa “Rail Baltica enerģijas apgādes apakšsistēmas iepirkums un ieviešanas stratēģija” nolikumā.	<b>Qualification criteria:</b> included regulation of the open competition “Rail Baltica energy subsystem procurement and deployment strategy”.
<b>Piedāvājuma izvēles kritērijs:</b> saimnieciski visizdevīgākais piedāvājums.	<b>Proposal evaluation:</b> the most economically advantageous proposal.

<p><b>Piedāvājumu iesniegšanas termiņš:</b> 2018. gada 16. augusts, līdz plkst. 15:00.</p>	<p><b>Submission of Proposals:</b> 16 August 2018, till 15:00 o'clock.</p>												
<p><b>Iesniegtie piedāvājumi un piedāvātās līgumcenas:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>ANDROMEDA ENGINEERING LTD</b>, reģ. nr. 7934570 ar savu piedāvājumu piedāvā veikt iepirkuma priekšmetā noteiktos darbus par kopējo summu <b>368 940,50 EUR</b> bez PVN apmērā;</li> <li>2. <b>IDOM Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.</b>, reģ. nr. A48283964 ar savu piedāvājumu piedāvā veikt iepirkuma priekšmetā noteiktos darbus par kopējo summu <b>327 239,06 EUR</b> bez PVN apmērā;</li> <li>3. <b>Consortium INECO-ARDANUY consisting of Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO)</b>, reģ. nr. A28220168, <b>ARDANUY Ingeniería, S.A.</b>, reģ. nr. A80480759 (<b>ARDANUY</b>) ar savu piedāvājumu piedāvā veikt iepirkuma priekšmetā noteiktos darbus par kopējo summu <b>321 737,22 EUR</b> bez PVN apmērā;</li> <li>4. <b>WS Atkins plc</b>, reģ. nr. 1091953 ar savu piedāvājumu piedāvā veikt iepirkuma priekšmetā noteiktos darbus par kopējo summu <b>999 300,00 EUR</b> bez PVN apmērā.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Proposals and the proposed prices:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>ANDROMEDA ENGINEERING LTD</b>, reg no. 7934570 with Proposal offers to provide services for total amount of the Proposal <b>368 940,50 EUR</b> excl. VAT;</li> <li>2. <b>IDOM Consulting, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.</b>, reg no. A48283964 with Proposal offers to provide services for total amount of the Proposal <b>327 239,06 EUR</b> excl. VAT;</li> <li>3. <b>Consortium INECO-ARDANUY consisting of Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO)</b>, reg no. A28220168, <b>ARDANUY Ingeniería, S.A.</b>, reg no. A80480759 (<b>ARDANUY</b>) with Proposal offers to provide services for total amount of the Proposal <b>321 737,22 EUR</b> excl. VAT;</li> <li>4. <b>WS Atkins plc</b>, reg no. 1091953 with Proposal offers to provide services for total amount of the Proposal <b>999 300,00 EUR</b> excl. VAT.</li> </ol>												
<p><b>Piedāvājumu atvēršanas vieta, datums un laiks:</b> 2018. gada 16. augusts, plkst. 15:00, E-iespējamu sistēmā.</p>	<p><b>Opening of Proposals:</b> 15.00 o'clock 16 August 2018 at E-Tenders system.</p>												
<p><b>Pretendents ar kuru tiks slēgts līgums un pamatojums piedāvājuma izvēlei:</b></p>	<p><b>The decision and justification on the contract award:</b></p>												
<p>Iepirkuma komisija nolēma piešķirt līguma slēgšanas tiesības par kopējo iepirkuma līguma summu: <b>321 737,22 EUR</b> (trīs simti divdesmit viens tūkstotis septiņi simti trīsdesmit septiņi <i>euro</i> un 00 centi) bez PVN, pretendētājam ar saimnieciski visizdevīgāko piedāvājumu un kas atbilst atklāta konkursa nolikuma prasībām:</p>	<p>Procurement commission decided to award the contract on the total contract price: of <b>321 737,22 EUR</b> (three hundred twenty-one seven hundred thirty-seven <i>euro</i> and 22 cents) excluding VAT, to the tenderer, who's proposal is the most economically advantageous proposal which complies with requirements stipulated in Regulations:</p>												
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Prendents</th> <th>Summa (EUR bez PVN)</th> <th>legūtie punkti</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Consortium INECO-ARDANUY consisting of Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO), reģ. nr. A28220168, ARDANUY Ingeniería, S.A., reģ. nr. A80480759 (ARDANUY)</td> <td>321 737,22</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Prendents	Summa (EUR bez PVN)	legūtie punkti	Consortium INECO-ARDANUY consisting of Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO), reģ. nr. A28220168, ARDANUY Ingeniería, S.A., reģ. nr. A80480759 (ARDANUY)	321 737,22	100	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Tenderer</th> <th>Total amount EUR (excl. VAT)</th> <th>Total points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Consortium INECO-ARDANUY consisting of Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO), reg no. A28220168, ARDANUY Ingeniería, S.A., reg no. A80480759 (ARDANUY)</td> <td>321 737,22</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Tenderer	Total amount EUR (excl. VAT)	Total points	Consortium INECO-ARDANUY consisting of Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO), reg no. A28220168, ARDANUY Ingeniería, S.A., reg no. A80480759 (ARDANUY)	321 737,22	100
Prendents	Summa (EUR bez PVN)	legūtie punkti											
Consortium INECO-ARDANUY consisting of Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO), reģ. nr. A28220168, ARDANUY Ingeniería, S.A., reģ. nr. A80480759 (ARDANUY)	321 737,22	100											
Tenderer	Total amount EUR (excl. VAT)	Total points											
Consortium INECO-ARDANUY consisting of Ingenieria y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P. S.A. (INECO), reg no. A28220168, ARDANUY Ingeniería, S.A., reg no. A80480759 (ARDANUY)	321 737,22	100											

<b>Noraidītie pretendenti:</b>	<b>Rejected Tenderers:</b>
<p><b>Noraidīšanas pamatojums pretendētam Andromeda Engineering Limited, reģ.nr. 07934570:</b></p> <p>1) Pretendents saskaņā ar atklāta konkursa nolikuma 10.daļas 10.1.punktu nav iesniedzis piedāvājumu nodrošinājumu 5000,00 EUR (pieci tūkstoši euro) apmērā, kuram ir jābūt derīgam 3 (trīs) mēnešu no piedāvājumu atvēršanas dienas.</p> <p>Pamatojoties uz augstāk minēto pretendenta piedāvājums tika noraidīts.</p>	<p><b>Grounds of rejection of the proposal submitted by Andromeda Engineering Limited, reg no. 07934570:</b></p> <p>1) Tenderer does not comply with Clause 10.1, Section 10 of the Regulations. In accordance with Clause 10.1 of Regulations, the Tenderer shall submit a proposal (bid) security in the amount of 5000,00 EUR (five thousand euros) which should be valid 3 (three) months from the day of opening of the Proposal.</p> <p>Based on above mentioned Tenderers proposal was rejected.</p>
<p><b>1. Noraidīšanas pamatojums pretendētam ATKINS INTERNATIONAL LTD, reģ no. 1091953:</b></p> <p>1) Pretendents neatbilst atklāta konkursa Nolikuma 7.5.5.punktā noteiktajām minimālajām prasībām, kas ir izvirzītas Enerģijas apgādes apakšsistēmas vadības komandas ekspertam. Piedāvātajam galvenajam ekspertam, jaatbilst sekojošām minimālajām prasībām: (i) 2 (divi) liela mēroga TSI pabeigti projekti vai pētījumi, kas saistīti ar dzelzceļa enerģijas apgādes apakšsistēmām pēdējo 7 (septiņi) gadu laikā. Pretendenta piedāvājums tika noraidīts, jo pretendenta piedāvātais Enerģijas apakšsistēmas eksperts neatbilst iepriekšminētajai atklāta konkursa nolikuma prasībai, proti, pēdējo 7 (septiņi) gadu laikā (no 2011.gada 1.janvāra līdz piedāvājuma iesniegšanas dienai) nav pabeidzis 2 (divi) pētījumus vai projektus, kas saistīti ar dzelzceļa enerģijas apgādes apakšsistēmām liela mēroga TSI projektos.</p> <p>Iepirkuma komisija pieprasīja pretendētam paskaidrot vai piedāvātā eksperta profesionālās pieredze (kas norādīta tehniskā piedāvājuma 1.pielikumā) ietver pieredzi enerģijas apgādes apakšsistēmas analizē 2 (divi) pabeigtos dzelzceļa enerģētikas apakšsistēmas pētījumos vai liela mēroga TSI dzelzceļa infrastruktūras projektos (50 miljoni EUR un vairāk) pēdējo 7 (septiņi) gadu laikā. Iepirkuma komisija lūdza precizēt projektu, kas norādīti tehniskā piedāvājuma 1.pielikumā atbilstību nolikumā noteiktajām prasībām.</p>	<p><b>1. Grounds of rejection of the proposal submitted by WS ATKINS INTERNATIONAL LTD, reg no. 1091953:</b></p> <p>1) Tenderer does not comply with requirements stipulated in Clause 7.5.5 (team of key experts – Energy subsystem control command system expert) of the Regulations. In accordance with requirements set in Clause 7.5.5 a proposed key expert shall meet following minimal requirement: (i) Experience as leading expert for the analysis or development of energy subsystem control command system within the scope of 2 (two) completed studies or designs on railway energy subsystems for large-scale TSI compliant railway infrastructure project within last 7 (seven) years.</p> <p>Tenderers proposal was rejected as Tenderer's proposed Energy subsystem control command system expert has not completed 2 (two) studies or designs on railway energy subsystem for large-scale TSI compliant railway infrastructure project within last 7 (seven) years (from 01.01.2011 until the submitting the proposal). Procurement Commission requested the Tenderer to explain whether the proposed expert's professional experience (indicated in the Annex 1 of technical proposal) complies with the requirement of holding a leading expert position for the analysis or development of energy subsystem control command system within the scope of 2 (two) completed studies or designs on railway energy subsystem for large-scale (50mln € and more) TSI compliant railway infrastructure project within last 7 (seven) years. Procurement Commission asked to explain the compliance of submitted references of the projects in experience of the Tenderer (indicated</p>

<p>Pretendents paskaidroja, ka projekts Nr.2.5. tika pabeigts 2010.gadā, kas ir ārpus noteiktās pieredzes pēdējo 7 (septiņi) gadu laikā, kā arī projektā Nr.2.5 netika ievērotas TSI prasības.</p> <p>2) Pretendents neatbilst 7.5.dajas „Galveno ekspertu komanda” 7.5.2.punktā noteiktajām minimālajām prasībām, kas ir noteiktas projektu vadītājam (i) maģistra grāds vai ekvivalents.</p> <p>Iepirkuma komisija lūdza pretendentam paskaidrot, vai piedāvātajam ekspertam (kas ir norādīts tehniskā piedāvājuma 3.pielikumā) iegūta izglītība ir ekvivalenta maģistra grādam. Iepirkuma komisija saņēma paskaidrojumu par piedāvātā eksperta izglītību. Iepirkuma komisija izvērtēja pretendenta iesniegto paskaidrojumu un konstatēja, ka pretendenta paskaidrojums par izglītību nav juridiski pamatots un neietver pierādījumus, kas pierādītu piedāvātā eksperta izglītības līmeņa atbilstību maģistra grādam.</p>	<p>in the Annex 1 of Technical proposal) to the requirements set out by the Regulations. In accordance with the explanations submitted by the Tenderer project No 2.5 (identified in Annex No 1 of the Technical proposal) was completed in 2010, which is outside the required 7-year limit and the project 2.5 has no reference to the requirement of compliance to TSI.</p> <p>2) Tenderer does not comply with requirements stipulated in Section 7.5 „Team of key experts” Clause 7.5.2, Project manager meeting the following minimal requirements</p> <p>(i) Master’s degree or equivalent.</p> <p>Procurement Commission asked the Tenderer to explain whether the proposed expert’s (indicated in the Annex 3 of Technical proposal) education is equivalent to master’s degree. Procurement commission received explanation regarding proposed expert education. The Procurement commission assessed the additional information provided by the Tenderer and found no formal evidences which could be considered as sufficient and legally substantiated in accordance with laws and regulations of country of residence to the Tenderer to prove that the education level of the Tenderer’s proposed expert is equivalent to the master’s degree.</p>
<p>Piedāvājumu izvērtēšanas kopsavilkums pielikumā.</p>	<p>Proposal evaluation summary in Annex.</p>
<p>Gadījumā, ja rodas domstarpības par dokumenta interpretāciju, dokumenta teksts angļu valodā uzskatāms par noteicošo.</p>	<p>In case of disagreements on the interpretation of the documentation, the English version of the documentation shall prevail.</p>

Iepirkuma komisijas locekle/sekretāre /  
Procurement commission member/secretary



L.Kalniņa

Evaluation summary for open competition „Rail Baltica energysubsystem procurement and deployment strategy“  
(Id.No RBR 2018/8)

Selection of the most economically advantageous proposal (Evaluation summary)

		Points assigned:									
No	Criteria	IDOM CONSULTING, Engineering, Architecture S.A.U.					Ingenierie y Economia del Transporte S.M.E. M.P., S.A. (INECO) – ARDANUY Ingenieria, S.A. (ARDANUY), Consortium INECO – ARDANUY				
	Maximum possible score (points)	M. Blas	A.Šnirpūnas	L.Ivanovs	M.Krauklis	L.Kalniņa	M.Blaus	A.Šnirpūnas	L.Ivanovs	M.Krauklis	L.Kalniņa
19.2.2.	Financial Proposal	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	40	40	40	40	40
	Total points for Financial Proposal:	39,32=(39,32+39,32+39,32+39,32+39,32)/5					40=(40+40+40+40+40)/5				
19.2.1.	Quality of the Technical Proposal, which will be evaluated in accordance with Section 19.5.	55	55	55	55	55	60	60	60	60	60
(a)	Study implementation methodology										
a.1	Outstanding <sup>1</sup> level of detail To satisfy the criterion under assessment a clearly structured, defined and justified study implementation methodology is presented. How the contracting requirements, expectations of the results and the aim of the procurement given in the Technical	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15

<sup>1</sup> Outstanding here and elsewhere means a detailed and well-structured description comprising features which exceed the required quality and performance set out in the Technical specification, with many, and/or additional to the ones mentioned in the Technical specification, alternatives analysed, options are substantiated including by taking into account the particular tasks of this particular project.

Evaluation summary for open competition „Rail Baltica energysubsystem procurement and deployment strategy”  
(Id.No RBR 2018/8)

	<p>specification are aimed to be realized is clearly shown. There are no inconsistencies between different parts of the methodology. The Tenderer has produced (above and beyond what has been produced in the Technical specification) a detailed, reasoned, extended description of the thoughts, plans and opinions on the planned service content, the provision of services methods and performance management, quality assurance, service to be performed as part of the job sequence. This is to include the various interrelationships, service results, reports and a preliminary structure of the Study. The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical Specification, deliverables are outlined in the best practice of expertise, the description of provision of services provides clear and detailed vision about the way and means of provision of these services and an outstanding description of the specific tasks of deliverables. Tenderer has proposed to include expertise in the context of Tenderer's experience from similar projects by indicating alternative methods or examples (based on Tenderer's similar exercises) to achieve Study's objectives and key factors for the selection of the most appropriate method.</p>						a.2	<p><b>Satisfactory<sup>2</sup> level of detail</b> To satisfy the criterion under assessment a clearly structured, defined and justified study implementation methodology is presented. An understanding is provided on how the</p>	10				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----	---	----	--	--	--	--

<sup>2</sup> Satisfactory here and elsewhere means a description, which generally meets the required quality and performance set out in the Technical specification but with some minor issues negatively deviating from the Technical specification and limited additional analysis or substantiation including by taking into account the particular tasks of this particular project.

Evaluation summary for open competition „Rail Baltica energysubsystem procurement and deployment strategy”  
(Id.No RBR 2018/8)

	<p>procurement requirements, expectations of the results and the aim of the procurement given in the Technical specification are aimed to be realized, but minor shortcomings are found in the description's level of detail and minor inconsistencies exist between different parts of the description.</p> <p>The Tenderer has produced (above and beyond what has been produced in the Technical specification) a partly extended description of the thoughts and opinions on the planned service content, the provision of services methods and performance management, quality assurance, service to be performed as part of the job sequence. This is to include the various interrelationships, service results, reports and a preliminary structure of the Study.</p> <p>The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical Specification, deliverables are outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of provision of services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these services and a good description of the specific tasks of deliverables.</p>						a.3	<p><b>Insufficient<sup>3</sup> level of detail</b></p> <p>The Tenderer has failed to propose study implementation methodology in a clear and justified manner.</p> <p>To satisfy the criterion under assessment a poorly structured, defined and justified description is presented. The proposed study implementation methodology consists of</p>	0				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----	--	---	--	--	--	--

<sup>3</sup> Insufficient here and elsewhere means a description, which partly meets the required quality and performance set out in the Technical specification in some areas but with some major issues negatively deviating from the Technical specification and very limited or no additional analysis or substantiation including by taking into account the particular tasks of this particular project.





Evaluation summary for open competition „Rail Baltica energysubsystem procurement and deployment strategy“  
(Id.No RBR 2018/8)

	<p>deliverables are outlined in the best practice of expertise, the description of provision of services provides clear and detailed vision about the way and means of provision of these services and an outstanding description of the planning of specific tasks of deliverables. Tenderer has proposed to include expertise in the context of Tenderer's experience from similar projects by proposing alternative implementation planning and examples (based on Tenderer's similar exercises) to achieve Study's objectives and key factors for the selection of the most appropriate one.</p>									
b.2	<p><b>Satisfactory level of detail</b> To satisfy the criterion under assessment a clearly structured, defined and justified service provision plan is presented. An understanding is provided on how the procurement requirements, expectations of the results and the aim of the procurement given in the Technical specification are planned to be realized, but minor shortcomings are found in the description's level of detail and minor inconsistencies exist between different parts of the description. The Tenderer has produced (above and beyond what has been produced in the Technical specification) a partly extended description of the service provision plan and with planned service content, the provision of services methods and performance management, quality assurance, service to be performed as part of the job sequence. The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical Specification, planning of deliverables is outlined in the best practice of industry, the description of</p>	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	

Evaluation summary for open competition „Rail Baltica energysubsystem procurement and deployment strategy“  
(Id.No RBR 2018/8)

b.3	<p>provision of services provides an idea about the planning of these services.</p>						
(c)	<p><b>Insufficient level of detail</b> The Tenderer has failed to propose service provision plan in a clear and justified manner. To satisfy the criterion under assessment a poorly structured, defined and justified description is presented. The proposed provision plan consists of unanswered or significantly inadequate and incomplete proposals to the provision of required deliverables. Service planning aspects named in the Technical specification are not covered, and the solutions offered are consistently inadequately described, the description of provision of service planning does not provide an understanding on the way and means of provision of these services or is not realistic. Clear inconsistencies exist between different parts of the Technical proposal.</p>	0					
c.1	<p><b>Energy subsystem technology and deployment</b> <b>Outstanding level of detail</b> To satisfy the criterion under assessment a clearly structured, defined and justified structure of railway energy subsystem technology and deployment is presented. How the contracting requirements, expectations of the results and the aim of the procurement given in the Technical specification are aimed to be realized is clearly shown. There are no inconsistencies between different parts of the approach for energy subsystem technology and deployment description. Key available energy subsystem technologies (covering separately traction substation, catenary and</p>	30	30	30	30	30	30

Evaluation summary for open competition „Rail Baltica energysubsystem procurement and deployment strategy“  
(Id.No RBR 2018/8)

	<p>energy control command system scope) including the most technologically promising ones are proposed for the analysis. The Tenderer has produced (above and beyond what has been produced in the Technical specification) a detailed, reasoned, extended description of their thoughts, plans and opinions on the planned service content, the analysis of different energy subsystem technologies, procurement and deployment strategies and methodology for their analysis and assessment. The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical Specification, deliverables are outlined in the best practice of expertise, the description of provision of services provides clear and detailed vision about the way and means of provision of these services and an outstanding description of the specific tasks of deliverables. Tenderer has proposed to include expertise in the context of Tenderer's experience from similar projects by indicating alternative methods and examples (based on Tenderer's similar exercises) to achieve Study's objectives and key factors for the selection of the most appropriate method.</p>					
c.2	<p><b>Satisfactory level of detail</b> To satisfy the criterion under assessment a clearly structured, defined and justified structure of railway energy subsystem technology and deployment is presented. How the contracting requirements, expectations of the results and the aim of the procurement given in the Technical specification are aimed to be realized is clearly shown. There are no inconsistencies between different parts of the</p>	20				

Evaluation summary for open competition „Rail Baltica energysubsystem procurement and deployment strategy“  
(Id.No RBR 2018/8)

	<p>approach for energy subsystem technology and deployment description. An understanding is provided on how the procurement requirements, expectations of the results and the aim of the procurement given in the Technical specification are aimed to be realized, but minor shortcomings are found in the description's level of detail and minor inconsistencies exist between different parts of the description. Key available energy subsystem technologies (covering separately traction substation, catenary and energy control command system scope) including the most technologically promising ones are proposed for the analysis. The Tenderer has produced (above and beyond what has been produced in the Technical specification) a partly extended description of the thoughts and opinions on the planned service content, the analysis of different energy subsystem technologies, procurement and deployment strategies and methodology for their analysis and assessment The contents of the Technical proposal conform with the Technical Specification, deliverables are outlined in the best practice of expertise, the description of provision of services provides an idea about the way and means of provision of these services and a good description of the specific tasks of deliverables.</p>						c.3	<p><b>Insufficient level of details</b> The Tenderer has failed to describe railway energy subsystem technology and deployment in a clear and justified manner.</p>	0				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----	---	---	--	--	--	--

Evaluation summary for open competition „Rail Baltica energysubsystem procurement and deployment strategy“  
(Id.No RBR 2018/8)

	<p>To satisfy the criterion under assessment a poorly structured, defined and justified description is presented. The proposed energy subsystem technology and deployment description consists of unanswered or significantly inadequate and incomplete proposals to the provision of required deliverables. Technology aspects named in the Technical specification are not covered, and the solutions offered are consistently inadequately described, the description of energy subsystem technology and deployment does not provide an understanding on the way and means of provision of these services or is not realistic. Clear inconsistencies exist between different parts of the Technical proposal.</p> <p>A failure to grasp the intended requirements of tasks outlined in the Technical specification.</p>										100	100	100	100	100	94,32	94,32	94,32	94,32	94,32	94,32	94,32	94,32	94,32	94,32	94,32	100
<b>Total:</b>											100	60	60	60	60	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	100
Total points for quality of the Technical Proposal:											100	60	60	60	60	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	40
Total points for quality of the Technical Proposal and for Financial Proposal:											100	60	60	60	60	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	39,32	40